arXiv:1607.07272v1 [math.GM] 22 Jul 2016

# A Hypothesis on Upper Bound of Goldbach Counting Function

Willie B. Wu

July 26, 2016

**Abstract**

Let $N \geq 1$ be integer, $P \geq 1$ be square-free integer and $S_P(N,x)$ be the number of $n$ between 1 and $x$ such that $(N-n)(N+n)$ is co-prime to $P$. In this paper we propose one hypothesis on upper bound of $S_P(N,x)$ and prove that, under hypothesis (3), $S_P(N,N-2) \geq 1$ if $N \geq 312$ and $P$ is the product of all primes $\leq \sqrt{2N}$. Consequently, Goldbach conjecture is true under hypothesis (3): there exists $n$ between 1 and $N-2$ such that both $N-n$ and $N+n$ are prime and even number $2N = (N-n)+(N+n)$, sum of two distinct primes if $N \geq 312$. Also, we propose a similar hypothesis on upper bound of $S_P(N,x)$ and prove that, under hypothesis (19), the generalized twin prime conjecture is true: for each $N \geq 1$, there are infinitely many pairs of primes $p$ and $q$ such that $q - p = 2N$.

**Notations** We use $\perp$ to indicate two integers are co-prime: $a \perp b$ means $\gcd(a,b) = 1$. $[a,b]$ is the least common multiplier of $a$ and $b$. $p$ and $q$ are primes, $x$ and $y$ are real. $\lfloor x \rfloor$ is the integer part of $x$ and $\{x\}$ is the fractional part of $x$. We denote $\mathcal{I}_{x,y}$ to be the set of integers $n$: $x \leq n \leq y$. For any finite set $W$, we denote $|W|$ to be the size of set $W$. We use $\triangleq$ to define new symbols in equation of either side.

## 1 Introduction

Assume $N \geq 1$ is integer and $P \geq 1$ is square-free integer. Goldbach counting function, $S_P(N,x)$ for $x > 0$, is defined to be the number of $n \in \mathcal{I}_{1,x}$ (integers between 1 and $x$) such that $(N-n)(N+n) \perp P$. For application to Goldbach conjecture, we take $P$ to be the product of all primes $\leq \sqrt{2N}$. If $S_P(N,N-2) > 0$, then there is $n \in \mathcal{I}_{1,N-2}$ such that $(N-n)(N+n) \perp P$; it means both $N-n$ and $N+n$ are prime and even number $2N = (N-n)+(N+n)$, sum of two distinct primes. For application to the generalized twin prime conjecture, we take a large $M$ and $P$ to be the product of all primes $\leq M$. If $S_P(N, M^2-N) > 0$, then there is $n \in \mathcal{I}_{1,M^2-N}$ such that $(n-N)(n+N) \perp P$; it means both $q = n+N$ and $p = n-N$ are prime and difference of them, $2N = q-p$, is constant regardless of choice of $M$. If there are infinitely many of such $M$, then there are infinitely many pairs of primes $p$ and $q$ such that $q - p = 2N$.

Two basic properties of $S_P(N,x)$ are discussed here: (i) Decomposition of Goldbach counting function: $S_P(N,x)$ is sum of $S_P^d(N,x)$ for all factors $d \mid P$ with respect to $d \perp 6N$, and $S_P(N,x) > 0$ if and only if $S_P^d(N,x) > 0$ for some $d$. (ii) Deduction formula: $S_P(N,x)$ can be expressed in terms of $S_{P'}(N,x)$ for $P' = P/p$ where prime $p \mid P$ and $p \nmid 2N$. Deduction formula for $S_P^d(N,x)$ does exist and a hypothesis is proposed according to the deduction formula for $S_P^p(N,x)$ for prime $p \mid P$ and $p \nmid 6N$.

Denote $N_P = \gcd(N,P)$ and $P_n = P/\gcd(P,n)$ for $n \geq 1$. For examples, $P_{6N} = P/\gcd(P,6N)$ and $P_p = P/p$ where $p \mid P$. Let $W_P(N)$ be the set of $n \in \mathcal{I}_{1,P}$ such that $(N-n)(N+n) \perp P$. We will prove

$$|W_P(N)| = \prod_{p|N_P} (p-1) \prod_{p|P_{6N}} (p-2) \tag{1}$$

For $x > 0$, let $S_P(N,x)$ be the number of $n \in \mathcal{I}_{1,x}$ such that $(N-n)(N+n) \perp P$. For $d \mid P_{6N}$, a factor of $P_{6N}$, let $S_P^d(N,x)$ be the number of $n \in \mathcal{I}_{1,x}$ such that $(N-n)(N+n) \perp P$ and $\gcd(P_{6N},n) = d$. Both $S_P(N,x)$ and $S_P^d(N,x)$ are called *Goldbach counting functions*. For $d \mid P_{6N}$, let $W_P^d(N)$ be the set

of $n \in W_P(N)$ such that $\gcd(P_{6N}, n) = d$. It is clear that $W_P(N)$ is the disjoint union of $W_P^d(N)$ for all $d \mid P_{6N}$. We will prove that size of $W_P^d(N)$ is

$$|W_P^d(N)| = \prod_{p|N_P} (p-1) \prod_{p|P_{6dN}} (p-3) \tag{2}$$

**UBH:** First upper bound hypothesis on $S_P^p(N,x)$. Assume $N \geq 312$ and $P$ is the product of all primes $\leq \sqrt{2N}$. For any $p \mid P_{6N}$ and any reals $y > x$ and $N/2 \leq x < N-1$, the following inequality holds:

$$S_P^p(N, y+x) - S_P^p(N, y-x) \leq \frac{3x}{P} |W_P^p(N)| \tag{3}$$

This hypothesis says that the number of $n \in \mathcal{I}_{y-x,y+x}$ such that $(N-n)(N+n) \perp P$ and $\gcd(P_{6N}, n) = p$ is no more than 150% of its average. **UBH** (3) fails for some small $N$; however, numerical calculation strongly supports this hypothesis for $N \geq 100,000,000$.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Assume $N \geq 312$ and $P$ is the product of all primes $\leq \sqrt{2N}$. If* **UBH** (3) *is true, then*

$$S_P(N, N-2) \geq 1 \tag{4}$$

One of major steps in the proof of this theorem is deduction formula (5) for Goldbach counting function. It is a formula for $S_P(N,x)$ in terms of $S_{P_p}(N,x)$ for $p \mid P_{2N}$. For prime $p \mid P$, let $\overline{P_p}$ be an inverse of $P_p$ in $p$, satisfying $\overline{P_p}P_p \equiv 1 \bmod p$, and $\bar{p}$ be an inverse of $p$ in $P_p$, satisfying $\bar{p}p \equiv 1 \bmod P_p$.

**Theorem 1.2. (Deduction formula for $S_P(N,x)$)** *For $p \mid P_{2N}$, if $x$ is not integer and $x < N\overline{P_p}P_p$, then*

$$S_P(N,x) = S_{P_p}(N,x) - S_{P_p}\left(\bar{p}N, \frac{N\overline{P_p}P_p + x}{p}\right) + S_{P_p}\left(\bar{p}N, \frac{N\overline{P_p}P_p - x}{p}\right) \tag{5}$$

*Proof.* Let $m = N\overline{P_p}P_p$. We only need to prove for $x = n + \frac{1}{2}$ where $n = 0, 1, \cdots, m-1$. For $n = 0$, we have $S_{P_p}(N,x) = S_P(N,x) = 0$ and $S_{P_p}\left(\bar{p}N, \frac{m+x}{p}\right) = S_{P_p}\left(\bar{p}N, \frac{m-x}{p}\right)$. For $0 < n < m$, we need to prove

$$S_{P_p}(N,x) - S_P(N,x) = S_{P_p}\left(\bar{p}N, \frac{m+x}{p}\right) - S_{P_p}\left(\bar{p}N, \frac{m-x}{p}\right) \tag{6}$$

as $x$ changes from $x = n - \frac{1}{2}$ to $x = n + \frac{1}{2}$. Let us look at one case: $S_{P_p}\left(\bar{p}N, \frac{m+x}{p}\right)$ increases by 1 from $x = n - \frac{1}{2}$ to $x = n + \frac{1}{2}$. In this case, $n' = \frac{m+x'}{p}$ is integer for some $x'$ and $(\bar{p}N + n')(\bar{p}N - n') \perp P_p$. Since $\frac{m+x'}{p}$ is integer, then $x' = n$. $p \mid m + x' = N\overline{P_p}P_p + n$ means $p \mid N + n$. Since $(\bar{p}N + n')(\bar{p}N - n') \perp P_p$, then $(N + pn')(N - pn') \perp P_p$ and $(N+n)(N-n) \perp P_p$. Thus, $S_{P_p}(N,x) - S_P(N,x)$ increases by 1 from $x = n - \frac{1}{2}$ to $x = n + \frac{1}{2}$ and $S_{P_p}\left(\bar{p}N, \frac{m-x}{p}\right)$ has no change. The rest of proof is to verify the other cases. □

It is not hard to check the other cases; however, we skip the verification here since we will give an "analytic" proof after the Goldbach cosine sum-product formula is established. For $p \mid P$, we define

$$\alpha_p(P,m) = \begin{cases} p-2 & \text{if } p \mid m, \\ -2\cos\frac{2m\overline{P_p}\pi}{p} & \text{if } p \nmid m \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

We are going to show the *Goldbach cosine sum-product formula* over $W_P(N)$ for integer $k$:

$$C_P(N,k) \triangleq \sum_{n \in W_P(N)} \cos\frac{2nk\pi}{P} = \mu(N_P) \prod_{p|\gcd(k,N_P)} (1-p) \prod_{p|P_{6N}} \alpha_p(P,kN) \tag{8}$$

where $\mu$ is the Möbius function, and the *Goldbach cosine sum-product formula* over $W_P^d(N)$ for $d \mid P_{6N}$:

$$C_P^d(N,k) \triangleq \sum_{n \in W_P^d(N)} \cos\frac{2nk\pi}{P} = \mu(N_P) \prod_{p|\gcd(k,N_P)} (1-p) \prod_{p|P_{6dN}} (\alpha_p(P,kN) - 1) \tag{9}$$

Next we will prove the Goldbach counting formula when $x$ is not integer:

$$S_P(N,x) = |W_P(N)|\frac{x}{P} - t_P(N) - T_P(N,x) \tag{10}$$

where

$$t_P(N) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } N_P = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } N_P > 1 \end{cases} \quad \text{and } T_P(N,x) = -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_P(N,k)}{k\pi} \sin\frac{2k\pi x}{P} \tag{11}$$

By Goldbach cosine sum-product formula over $W_P(N)$, we can get the deduction formula for $C_P(N,k)$:

$$C_P(N,k) = C_{P_p}(\bar{p}N,k)\alpha_p(P,kN) \tag{12}$$

for $p \mid P_{2N}$. By this formula, we are able to show

**Theorem 1.3. (Deduction formula for $T_P(N,x)$)** *For $p \mid P_{2N}$, if $x$ is not integer and $x < N\overline{P_p}P_p$, then*

$$T_P(N,x) = T_{P_p}(N,x) - T_{P_p}\left(\bar{p}N, \frac{N\overline{P_p}P_p + x}{p}\right) + T_{P_p}\left(\bar{p}N, \frac{N\overline{P_p}P_p - x}{p}\right) \tag{13}$$

Deduction formula for $S_P(N,x)$ can be derived from deduction formula for $T_P(N,x)$. $T_P(N,x)$ is called *error* term; however, it is not small in general. We will see $T_P(N,N)/S_P(N,N) \approx 0.26$ by numerical calculations for large $N$. For $d \mid P_{6N}$, we define another error term:

$$T_P^d(N,x) = -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_P^d(N,k)}{k\pi} \sin\frac{2k\pi x}{P} \tag{14}$$

and will prove that

$$S_P^d(N,x) = |W_P^d(N)|\frac{x}{P} - t_P^d(N) - T_P^d(N,x) \tag{15}$$

where $t_P^d(N) = 0$ if $d < P_{6N}$ and $t_P^d(N) = t_P(N)$ if $d = P_{6N}$. By this formula, **UBH** (3) can be given equivalently as, for $p \mid P_{6N}$,

$$T_P^p(N,y-x) - T_P^p(N,y+x) \le \frac{x}{P}|W_P^p(N)| \tag{16}$$

We will show $C_P^d(N,k) = C_P^{dp}(N,k)(\alpha_p(P,kN) - 1)$ for $d \mid P_{6N}$ and $p \mid P_{6dN}$, and

$$T_P^d(N,x) = T_{P_p}^d(N,x) - T_P^{dp}(N,x) - T_P^{dp}(N,N\overline{P_p}P_p + x) + T_P^{dp}(N,N\overline{P_p}P_p - x) \tag{17}$$

By taking $d = 1$, we get the following after **UBH** (16) is applied with $y = N\overline{P_p}P_p$:

$$T_P^1(N,x) \le T_{P_p}^1(N,x) - T_P^p(N,x) + \frac{x}{P}|W_P^p(N)| \tag{18}$$

for $N/2 \le x < N-1$. If $T_P^p(N,x) < \frac{x}{P}|W_P^p(N)|$, then $S_P^p(N,x) > 0$ and $S_P(N,N-2) \ge 1$. Otherwise, we have $T_P^p(N,x) \ge \frac{x}{P}|W_P^p(N)|$ and $T_P^1(N,x) \le T_{P_p}^1(N,x)$. It is the time to present the following:

**Theorem 1.4.** *Assume $x > 0$. If $T_P^1(N,x) \le T_{P_p}^1(N,x)$ for each $p \mid P_{6N}$, then $T_P^1(N,x) \le T_{P_d}^1(N,x) + t_{P_d}^1(N)$ for any $d \mid P_{6N}$.*

It turns out the proof of this theorem is quite simple. Now we have a small error term $T_P^1(N,x) \le T_{P_d}^1(N,x) + t_P^d(N)$ by selecting $d = P_{6N}$ and $N/2 \le x < N-1$. This is the outline of our major steps to prove Theorem 1.1. Similarly, we will prove the generalized twin prime conjecture under **UBH′**:

**UBH′:** Second upper bound hypothesis on $S_P^p(N,x)$. For given $N \ge 1$, there are infinitely many integers $M \ge 2N+1$ such that for $M^2 - 7N \le x < M^2 - N < y$ and for each $p \mid P_{6N}$ where $P$ is the product of all primes $\le M$, the following inequality holds:

$$S_P^p(N,y+x) - S_P^p(N,y-x) \le \frac{3x}{P}|W_P^p(N)| \tag{19}$$

**Theorem 1.5.** *If* **UBH′** (19) *is true for given $N \ge 1$, then there are infinitely many pairs of primes $p$ and $q$ such that $q - p = 2N$.*

Let's start with the decomposition of set $W_P(N)$.

## 2 Decomposition of $W_P(N)$

There are several ways to obtain the formula for $|W_P(N)|$. By use of Chinese remainder theorem, we get it easily. Here it is.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Size of $W_P(N)$ is*

$$|W_P(N)| = \prod_{p|N_P}(p-1)\prod_{p|P_{2N}}(p-2) = \prod_{p|N_P}(p-1)\prod_{p|P_{6N}}(p-2) \tag{20}$$

*Proof.* If $2 \mid P_N$, let $\mathcal{J}_2 = \{0\}$. For $p \mid P_{2N}$, let $\mathcal{J}_p = \mathcal{I}_{0,p-1} \setminus \{a, b\}$ where $a$ and $b \in \mathcal{I}_{1,p-1}$ are the solutions $s$ of $p \mid s+N$ and $p \mid s-N$ respectively. Since $a \neq b$, then $|\mathcal{J}_p| = p-2$. For $n \in W_P(N)$ and $p \mid P$, let $h_p \equiv n \bmod p$ and $h_p \in \mathcal{I}_{0,p-1}$. We are going to prove $h_p \in \mathcal{I}_{1,p-1}$ if $p \mid N_P$ and $h_p \in \mathcal{J}_p$ if $p \mid P_N$. First we assume $p \mid N_P$, then $p \nmid (N-n)(N+n)$ means $p \nmid n$ and $h_p \in \mathcal{I}_{1,p-1}$. Next we assume $p \mid P_N$. If $p = 2$, then $2 \nmid N$ and $2 \nmid (N-n)(N+n)$ mean $n$ is even and $h_2 = 0$. If $p > 2$, then $p \nmid (N-n)(N+n)$ means $h_p \not\equiv \pm N \bmod p$ and therefore, $h_p \in \mathcal{J}_p$. If $n' \in W_P(N)$ and $p \mid n - n'$ for all $p \mid P$, then $n' = n$ and

$$|W_P(N)| \leq \prod_{p|N_P}|\mathcal{I}_{1,p-1}|\prod_{p|P_N}|\mathcal{J}_p| = \prod_{p|N_P}(p-1)\prod_{p|P_{2N}}(p-2) \tag{21}$$

Here we have $|\mathcal{J}_2| = 1$ if $2 \mid P_N$. Now we pick one value $f_p \in \mathcal{I}_{1,p-1}$ for $p \mid N_P$ and one value $f_p \in \mathcal{J}_p$ for $p \mid P_N$, then the system of equations

$$z \equiv f_p \bmod p \text{ for all } p \mid P \tag{22}$$

has one solution $n$ between 1 and $P$ by Chinese remainder theorem. Thus, $z = n \in W_P(N)$ and

$$|W_P(N)| \geq \prod_{p|N_P}(p-1)\prod_{p|P_{2N}}(p-2) = \prod_{p|N_P}(p-1)\prod_{p|P_{6N}}(p-2) \tag{23}$$

Here we have $|\mathcal{J}_3| = 1$ if $3 \mid P_{2N}$. That completes the proof. □

**Definition** For $d \mid P_{6N}$, a factor of $P_{6N}$, let $W_P^d(N)$ be the set of $n \in W_P(N)$ such that $\gcd(P_{6N}, n) = d$.

**Theorem 2.2. (Decomposition of $W_P(N)$)** *$W_P(N)$ is the disjoint union of $W_P^d(N)$ for all $d \mid P_{6N}$.*

*Proof.* For $n \in W_P(N)$, let $d = \gcd(P_{6N}, n)$, then $n \in W_P^d(N)$ and $W_P(N)$ is the union of $W_P^d(N)$ for all $d \mid P_{6N}$. If $n \in W_P^d(N) \cap W_P^{d'}(N)$, then $d = \gcd(P_{6N}, n) = d'$ and $W_P^d(N)$ is distinct for each $d \mid P_{6N}$. □

We define $c = \frac{\gcd(NP,6)}{\gcd(N,6)}$, the *index* of $(P, N)$. Clearly $c \mid 6$.

**Lemma 2.3.** i. *$c \mid n$ if $n \in W_P(N)$.* ii. *$c \perp N$.* iii. *$P_{cN} = P_{6N}$.*

*Proof.* Assume $n \in W_P(N)$, then $P \perp (N-n)(N+n)$. If $2 \mid c$, then, by definition of $c$, $N$ is odd, $P$ is even and $2 \mid n$ since $2 \nmid (N-n)$. If $3 \mid c$, then $3 \mid P$, $3 \nmid N$ and $3 \mid n$ since $3 \nmid (N-n)(N+n)$. Thus, part i and part ii are proved. For part iii, we only need to prove $2 \nmid P_{cN}$ and $3 \nmid P_{cN}$; it is obvious by definition of $c$. □

**Definition** For $d \mid P_{6N}$, let $P_{cd}^{\perp}$ be the set of $k \perp P_{cd}$ and $1 \leq k \leq P_{cd}$.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Assume $n \in W_P$ and $d \mid P_{6N}$. $n \in W_P^d$ if and only if $n = cdk$ and $k \in P_{cd}^{\perp}$.*

*Proof.* Assume $n \in W_P^d$, then $d = \gcd(P_{6N}, n)$. Since $cd \mid n \leq P$ then $n = cdk$ for some $k \leq P_{cd}$. Since $n \in W_P$, then $n \perp N_P$ and $k \perp N_P$. Since $\gcd(P_{cN}, cdk) = d$, then $\gcd(P_{cdN}, k) = 1$ and $k \perp P_{cdN}$. Thus, $k \perp N_P P_{cdN} = P_{cd}$ and $k \in P_{cd}^{\perp}$. Now assume $n = cdk$ and $k \in P_{cd}^{\perp}$. Let $d' = \gcd(P_{6N}, n) = \gcd(P_{cN}, cdk)$, then $d'/d = \gcd(P_{cdN}, ck) = \gcd(P_{cdN}, k)$. Since $\gcd(P_{cd}, k) = 1$, then $\gcd(P_{cdN}, k) = 1$ and $d' = d$. □

For $d \mid P_{6N}$, let $V_P^d(N)$ be the set of $k \in P_{cd}^{\perp}$ such that $cdk \in W_P^d(N)$. Clearly $|V_P^d(N)| = |W_P^d(N)|$.

**Theorem 2.5.** *For $d \mid P_{6N}$, size of $V_P^d(N)$ is*

$$|V_P^d(N)| = \prod_{p|N_P}(p-1)\prod_{p|P_{6dN}}(p-3) \tag{24}$$

*Proof.* For $p \mid P_{6dN}$, let $\mathcal{K}_p = \mathcal{I}_{1,p-1} \setminus \{a, b\}$ where $a$ and $b \in \mathcal{I}_{1,p-1}$ are the solutions $s$ of $p \mid cds + N$ and $p \mid cds - N$ respectively. Since $a \neq b$, then $|\mathcal{K}_p| = p - 3$. For $k \in V_P^d(N)$, let $h_p \equiv k \bmod p$ and $h_p \in \mathcal{I}_{0,p-1}$ for $p \mid P$. Since $V_P^d(N) \subset P_{cd}^{\perp}$, then $h_p \in \mathcal{I}_{1,p-1}$. For $p \mid P_{6dN}$, we have $p \nmid (N - cdk)(N + cdk)$; thus, $cdh_p \not\equiv \pm N \bmod p$ and $h_p \in \mathcal{K}_p$. Therefore,

$$|V_P^d(N)| \leq \prod_{p|N_P}|\mathcal{I}_{1,p-1}|\prod_{p|P_{6dN}}|\mathcal{K}_p| = \prod_{p|N_P}(p-1)\prod_{p|P_{6dN}}(p-3) \tag{25}$$

Now we pick one value $f_p \in \mathcal{I}_{1,p-1}$ for $p \mid N_P$ and one value $f_p \in \mathcal{K}_p$ for $p \mid P_{6dN}$. Since $P_{cd} = N_P P_{6dN}$, then the system of equations

$$z \equiv f_p \bmod p \text{ for all } p \mid P_{cd} \tag{26}$$

has one solution $k$ between 1 and $P_{cd}$ by Chinese remainder theorem. Thus, $z = k \in V_P^d(N)$ and the size of $V_P^d(N)$ is

$$|V_P^d(N)| \geq \prod_{p|N_P}|\mathcal{I}_{1,p-1}|\prod_{p|P_{6dN}}|\mathcal{K}_p| = \prod_{p|N_P}(p-1)\prod_{p|P_{6dN}}(p-3) \tag{27}$$

That completes the proof. □

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let $K$ be a square-free integer and let $h_p$ be given for prime $p \mid K$, then*

$$\prod_{p|K}(h_p+1) = \sum_{d|K}\prod_{p|K_d}h_p \tag{28}$$

*where $d$ goes over all factors of $K$ and $K_d = K/d$.*

An easy way to understand this formula is to treat each $h_p$ as an indeterminate in polynomial. This formula will be applied to the proof of Goldbach cosine sum-product formula over $W_P^d(N)$ and two more places: one is in the following example and one in the proof of Goldbach momentum formula.

**Example** We take $K = P_{6N}$ and $h_p = p - 3$ for $p \mid P_{6N}$, then

$$\prod_{p|P_{6N}}(p-2) = \sum_{d|P_{6N}}\prod_{p|P_{6dN}}(p-3) \tag{29}$$

$$\prod_{p|N_P}(p-1)\prod_{p|P_{6N}}(p-2) = \sum_{d|P_{6N}}\prod_{p|N_P}(p-1)\prod_{p|P_{6dN}}(p-3) \tag{30}$$

$$|W_P(N)| = \sum_{d|P_{6N}}|W_P^d(N)| \tag{31}$$

**Theorem 2.7. (Goldbach momentum formula)** *For any $f_p$ defined for each $p \mid P$,*

$$\sum_{n\in W_P(N)}\prod_{p|P_n}\frac{f_p-1}{p-1} = \prod_{p|N_P}(f_p-1)\prod_{p|P_{6N}}\left(f_p - 2\frac{f_p-1}{p-1}\right) \tag{32}$$

*Proof.* For $p \mid P$ and given $f_p$, we define

$$h_p = \frac{f_p-1}{p-1}(p-3) = f_p - 2\frac{f_p-1}{p-1} - 1 \tag{33}$$

Thus,

$$\prod_{p|P_{6N}}\left(f_p-2\frac{f_p-1}{p-1}\right)=\prod_{p|P_{6N}}(h_p+1) \quad = \quad \sum_{d|P_{6N}}\prod_{p|P_{6dN}}h_p=\sum_{d|P_{6N}}\prod_{p|P_{6dN}}\frac{f_p-1}{p-1}(p-3) \tag{34}$$

$$\prod_{p|N_P}(p-1)\prod_{p|P_{6N}}\left(f_p-2\frac{f_p-1}{p-1}\right) \quad = \quad \sum_{d|P_{6N}}\prod_{p|N_P}(p-1)\prod_{p|P_{6dN}}\frac{f_p-1}{p-1}(p-3) \tag{35}$$

$$= \quad \sum_{d|P_{6N}}|V_P^d(N)|\prod_{p|P_{6dN}}\frac{f_p-1}{p-1} \tag{36}$$

Since $P_{6dN}=P_{cdkN}$ for any $d\mid P_{6N}$ and $k\in V_P^d(N)$, then

$$\prod_{p|N_P}(p-1)\prod_{p|P_{6N}}\left(f_p-2\frac{f_p-1}{p-1}\right)=\sum_{d|P_{6N}}\sum_{k\in V_P^d(N)}\prod_{p|P_{cdkN}}\frac{f_p-1}{p-1}=\sum_{n\in W_P(N)}\prod_{p|P_{nN}}\frac{f_p-1}{p-1} \tag{37}$$

For $n\in W_P(N)$, we have $n\perp N_P$ and $P_n=N_PP_{nN}$; thus,

$$\prod_{p|P_n}\frac{f_p-1}{p-1} \quad = \quad \prod_{p|N_P}\frac{f_p-1}{p-1}\prod_{p|P_{nN}}\frac{f_p-1}{p-1} \tag{38}$$

$$\sum_{n\in W_P(N)}\prod_{p|P_n}\frac{f_p-1}{p-1} \quad = \quad \prod_{p|N_P}\frac{f_p-1}{p-1}\sum_{n\in W_P(N)}\prod_{p|P_{nN}}\frac{f_p-1}{p-1} \tag{39}$$

$$= \quad \prod_{p|N_P}\frac{f_p-1}{p-1}\prod_{p|N_P}(p-1)\prod_{p|P_{6N}}\left(f_p-2\frac{f_p-1}{p-1}\right) \tag{40}$$

and Goldbach momentum formula follows. □

Goldbach momentum formula was first discovered for $f_p=p^s$ by another method [6]. We are going to prove the Goldbach cosine sum-product formula in the next several sections.

# 3 Modulo Set over Square-Free Integer

Let us start with the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let $N\ge 1$ be an integer and $P\ge 1$ be a square-free integer. For $a\mid P$ and $b\mid P$, there exists an integer $n$ such that $a\mid N-n$ and $b\mid N+n$ if and only if* $\gcd(a,b)\mid 2N$.

*Proof.* If there is $n$ such that $a\mid N-n$ and $b\mid N+n$, then $n=N-ak$ for some $k$ and $n=bl-N$ for some $l$. Thus, $n=N-ak=bl-N$ and $2N=ak+bl$. Therefore, $\gcd(a,b)\mid 2N$. Now assume $\gcd(a,b)\mid 2N$. Let $n_1$ be the least integer $n\ge 0$ such that $b\mid N+n$ and $N_1=(N+n_1)/b$. If $b\mid N+n$, then $n=n_1+bk$ for some $k$ and

$$N-n=N-n_1-bk=2N-(N+n_1)-bk=2N-bN_1-bk \tag{41}$$

Let $d=\gcd(a,b)$, $a'=a/d$ and $b'=b/d$, then $a'\perp b'$. Since $d\mid 2N$, then $a\mid N-n$ is equivalent to $a'\mid N_2-b'N_1-b'k$ where $N_2=2N/d$. Let $k_1$ be the least integer $k\ge 0$ such that $a'\mid N_2-b'N_1-b'k$ (the existence of such $k$ is due to $a'\perp b'$), then $n=n_1+bk_1$ meets the requirement. □

**Definition** Let $N\ge 1$ be an integer and $P\ge 1$ be a square-free integer. Let $Q$ be the set of pairs $(a,b)$ of positive integers such that $[a,b]\mid P$ and $\gcd(a,b)\mid 2N$. For $(a,b)\in Q$, let $m_{ab}$ be the least integer $n\ge 0$ such that $a\mid N-n$ and $b\mid N+n$. We call $\{m_{ab}\}$ the *modulo set* in respect of $N$ and $P$.

We assume $N$ and $P$ are given throughout this paper; therefore so is $Q$. The following three theorems uncover some properties on the modulo set $\{m_{ab}\}$.

**Theorem 3.2.** *If $(a,b),(a',b) \in Q$ and $a \mid a'$, then $m_{a'b} = m_{ab} + k[a,b]$ for some $k \geq 0$. Likewise, if $(a,b),(a,b') \in Q$ and $b \mid b'$, then $m_{ab'} = m_{ab} + k'[a,b]$ for some $k' \geq 0$.*

*Proof.* Since $a \mid a' \mid N - m_{a'b}$ and $a \mid N - m_{ab}$, then $a \mid m_{a'b} - m_{ab}$. Similarly, $b \mid m_{a'b} - m_{ab}$ and $[a,b] \mid m_{a'b} - m_{ab}$. Thus, $m_{a'b} = m_{ab} + k[a,b]$ and $k \geq 0$ since $m_{a'b} \geq m_{ab}$. □

**Theorem 3.3.** *Assume $(a,b) \in Q$ and prime $p \mid \gcd(2N,b)$. Let*

$$a' = \begin{cases} a/p & \text{if } p \mid a, \\ ap & \text{if } p \nmid a \end{cases} \tag{42}$$

*then $(a',b) \in Q$ and $m_{a'b} = m_{ab}$.*

*Proof.* First, $\gcd(a',b) = \gcd(a,b)$ and $(a',b) \in Q$. Next we assume $p = 2$. Since $2 \mid b \mid N + m_{ab}$, then $2 \mid N - m_{ab}$ and $a' \mid N - m_{ab}$. Now we assume $p$ is odd and $p \mid N$. Since $p \mid b \mid N + m_{ab}$, then $p \mid m_{ab}$, $p \mid N - m_{ab}$ and $a' \mid N - m_{ab}$. In either case we have $m_{a'b} \leq m_{ab}$. If $a' = ap$ then we have $m_{a'b} = m_{ab}$. If $a' = a/p$, then $a'' \triangleq (a')'$ and $m_{a''b} = m_{a'b}$. Since $a = a''$, then the proof is complete. □

**Theorem 3.4.** *For $(a,b) \in Q$, we have*

$$m_{ab} + m_{ba} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } [a,b] \mid N, \\ [a,b] & \text{if } [a,b] \nmid N \end{cases} \tag{43}$$

*Proof.* If $[a,b] \mid N$, then it is clear that $m_{ab} = m_{ba} = 0$. If $[a,b] \nmid N$, then $m_{ab} > 0$. Let $n = [a,b] - m_{ab}$, then $n > 0$, $a \mid N + n$ and $b \mid N - n$; thus, $m_{ba} = n$. □

**Definition** For $n \geq 1$, let $U_n$, the *unit set* at $n$, be the set of $(a,b) \in Q$ such that $[a,b] \mid n - m_{ab}$, let $U_n^*$ be the set of $(a,b) \in Q$ such that $[a,b] \mid n + m_{ab}$, called the *dual* of unit set $U_n$.

It is clear that $(a,b) \in U_n$ if and only if $(b,a) \in U_n^*$. Möbius function $\mu$ is widely used in the classic sieve method [1]. For $n \geq 1$, we define the *unit value* at $n$ as

$$u_n = \sum_{(a,b) \in U_n} \mu(a)\mu(b) \tag{44}$$

**Theorem 3.5.** *For $n \geq 1$, the unit value $u_n$ is given as follows:*

$$u_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (N-n)(N+n) \perp P, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{45}$$

*Proof.* First, we define $a_n = \gcd(N-n,P)$ and $b_n = \gcd(N+n,P)$. If $(a,b) \in U_n$, then $n = m_{ab} + k[a,b]$ for some $k$. Since $a \mid N - m_{ab}$ and $a \mid n - m_{ab}$, then $a \mid N - n$, therefore $a \mid a_n$; similarly, $b \mid b_n$. Conversely, if $a \mid a_n$ and $b \mid b_n$, then $a \mid N - n$ and $b \mid N + n$. Thus, $n = m_{ab} + k[a,b]$ for some $k$ and $(a,b) \in U_n$. Thus, $U_n$ is the set of $(a,b) \in Q$ such that $a \mid a_n$ and $b \mid b_n$. Now we have

$$u_n = \sum_{(a,b) \in U_n} \mu(a)\mu(b) = \sum_{a \mid a_n} \mu(a) \sum_{b \mid b_n} \mu(b) \tag{46}$$

Since $a_n = b_n = 1$ if and only if $(N-n)(N+n) \perp P$, then the theorem follows. □

By use of $u_n$, we have for integer $k$,

$$\sum_{n \in W_P(N)} \cos \frac{2nk\pi}{P} = \sum_{n=1}^{P} u_n \cos \frac{2nk\pi}{P} \tag{47}$$

# 4 Sum-Sieve Equation

For $(a,b) \in Q$ and $x \geq 0$, we define

$$n_{ab}(x) = \left\lfloor \frac{x+m_{ab}}{[a,b]} \right\rfloor = \frac{x+m_{ab}}{[a,b]} - \left\{ \frac{x+m_{ab}}{[a,b]} \right\} \tag{48}$$

the integer part of $\frac{x+m_{ab}}{[a,b]}$, where $\{y\}$ is the fractional part of $y$. For integer $n \geq 1$, let

$$n_{ab}(n^-) = \lim_{x \to n^-} n_{ab}(x) \tag{49}$$

Since $n_{ab}(n) \neq n_{ab}(n^-)$ if and only if $[a,b] \mid n + m_{ab}$, then we have the following lemma:

**Lemma 4.1.** *For $n \geq 1$, $n_{ab}(n) \neq n_{ab}(n^-)$ if and only if $(a,b) \in U_n^*$.*

For $(a,b) \in Q$ and $x \geq 0$, we define

$$A_{ab} = [a,b] - 2m_{ab} \text{ and } B_{ab}(x) = A_{ab} + 2n_{ab}(x)[a,b] \tag{50}$$

**Theorem 4.2. (Sum-sieve equation)** *Assume $N$, $P$ and $Q$ are given. For $x \geq 0$ and real $s$ such that $\sin Ps \neq 0$, we have*

$$E(x,s) \triangleq \sum_{(a,b)\in Q} \mu(a)\mu(b) \frac{e^{iB_{ab}(x)s} - e^{iA_{ab}s}}{2i \sin[a,b]s} = \sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} u_n e^{2ins} \tag{51}$$

where $i = \sqrt{-1}$ is the imaginary unit of complex number.

*Proof.* The equation is true for $x = 0$. $E(x,s)$, as a function of $x$, is a step function jumping probably at $x = n$, the positive integers. For $0 < n \leq x$, if $(a,b) \in U_n^*$, then $n_{ab}(n^-) = n_{ab}(n) - 1$, $B_{ab}(n) = 2n + [a,b]$ and $B_{ab}(n^-) = 2n - [a,b]$. By Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(n,s) - E(n^-,s) &= \sum_{(a,b)\in U_n^*} \mu(a)\mu(b) \frac{e^{iB_{ab}(n)s} - e^{iB_{ab}(n^-)s}}{2i \sin[a,b]s} && (52) \\ &= \sum_{(a,b)\in U_n^*} \mu(a)\mu(b) \frac{e^{i(2n+[a,b])s} - e^{i(2n-[a,b])s}}{2i \sin[a,b]s} && (53) \\ &= e^{2ins} \sum_{(a,b)\in U_n^*} \mu(a)\mu(b) \frac{e^{i[a,b]s} - e^{-i[a,b]s}}{2i \sin[a,b]s} = u_n e^{2ins} && (54) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, step function $E(x,s)$ is the sum of all these terms. □

By taking the real and imaginary parts on $E(x,s)$ respectively, we get

$$\begin{aligned} E_1(x,s) &\triangleq \sum_{(a,b)\in Q} \mu(a)\mu(b) \frac{\sin B_{ab}(x)s - \sin A_{ab}s}{2 \sin[a,b]s} = \sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} u_n \cos 2ns && (55) \\ E_2(x,s) &\triangleq \sum_{(a,b)\in Q} \mu(a)\mu(b) \frac{\cos B_{ab}(x)s - \cos A_{ab}s}{2 \sin[a,b]s} = -\sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} u_n \sin 2ns && (56) \end{aligned}$$

$E_1(x,s)$ and $E_2(x,s)$ are called cosine and sine formula on modulo set respectively.

**Definition** For any factor $d \mid P$, let $Q_d$ be the set of $(a,b) \in Q$ such that $ab = P_d$ and $b \perp 2N$.

**Lemma 4.3.** *For any function $F(n,m)$ defined on integers $n$ and $m$, we have*

$$\sum_{(a,b)\in Q} \mu(a)\mu(b) F(m_{ab},[a,b]) = \sum_{d \mid P} \mu(P_d) \sum_{(a,b)\in Q_d} F(m_{ab},[a,b]) \tag{57}$$

*Proof.* For $d \mid P$ and $(a,b) \in Q_d$, $\mu(a)\mu(b) = \mu(P_d)$. Let $Q'_d$ be the set of $(a,b) \in Q \setminus Q_d$ such that $[a,b] = P_d$, then

$$\sum_{(a,b)\in Q} \mu(a)\mu(b)F(m_{ab},[a,b]) = \sum_{d|P} \mu(P_d) \sum_{(a,b)\in Q_d} F(m_{ab},[a,b]) + \sum_{d|P} \sum_{(a,b)\in Q'_d} \mu(a)\mu(b)F(m_{ab},[a,b]) \quad (58)$$

We need to prove the second term is 0. Let $P_d = p_1 \cdots p_n q_1 \cdots q_m$ be all the prime factors such that $p_1 \cdots p_n \mid 2N$ and $q_1 \cdots q_m \perp 2N$. Since $(a,b) \in Q_d$ if and only if $b \mid q_1 \cdots q_m$ and $a = P_d/b$, then $(a,b) \mid Q'_d$ if and only if $p_j \mid b$ for some $j$ and $[a,b] = P_d$. For $(a,b) \in Q'_d$, let $l$ be the maximal index of $j$ such that $p_j \mid b$. Therefore, $p_l \mid \gcd(2N,b)$; we define $a' = a/p_l$ if $p_l \mid a$ and $a' = ap_l$ if $p_l \nmid a$. Thus, $(a',b) \mid Q'_d$, $\mu(a) = -\mu(a')$ and $m_{ab} = m_{a'b}$. Now we have

$$2 \sum_{(a,b)\in Q'_d} \mu(a)\mu(b)F(m_{ab},[a,b]) = \sum_{(a,b)\in Q'_d} (\mu(a) + \mu(a'))\mu(b)F(m_{ab},[a,b]) = 0 \quad (59)$$

This completes the proof. □

By application of this lemma to $E_1(x,s)$, we have

$$E_1(x,s) = \sum_{1\le n\le x} u_n \cos 2ns = \sum_{d|P} \mu(P_d) \sum_{(a,b)\in Q_d} \frac{\sin B_{ab}(x)s - \sin A_{ab}s}{2\sin P_d s} \quad (60)$$

# 5 Goldbach Cosine Sum-Product Formula

First, we present the following cosine formula:

**Theorem 5.1.** *For integer $k \ge 1$,*

$$\sum_{n\in W_P(N)} \cos\frac{2nk\pi}{P} = \sum_{n=1}^{P} u_n \cos\frac{2nk\pi}{P} = \sum_{d|\gcd(k,P)} \mu(P_d)d \sum_{(a,b)\in Q_d} \cos\frac{2m_{ab}k\pi}{P} \quad (61)$$

*Proof.* First part of equation is given by definition of $u_n$. By taking $x = P$ in $E_1(x,s)$, we have

$$E_1(P,s) = \sum_{n=1}^{P} u_n \cos 2ns = \sum_{d|P} \mu(P_d) \sum_{(a,b)\in Q_d} \frac{\sin B_{ab}(P)s - \sin A_{ab}s}{2\sin P_d s} \quad (62)$$

Since $B_{ab}(P) = A_{ab} + 2P = [a,b] - 2m_{ab} + 2P$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sin B_{ab}(P)s - \sin A_{ab}s &= \cos A_{ab}s \sin 2Ps + \sin A_{ab}s(\cos 2Ps - 1) & (63)\\ &= \cos A_{ab}s \sin 2Ps - 2\sin A_{ab}s \sin^2 Ps & (64) \end{aligned}$$

Now we let $s \to \frac{k\pi}{P}$ in $E_1(P,s)$. It is clear that $\sin B_{ab}(P)s - \sin A_{ab}s \to 0$ as $s \to \frac{k\pi}{P}$. Let $d \mid P$. If $d \nmid k$, then $\sin P_d s \to \sin\frac{k\pi}{d} \ne 0$ as $s \to \frac{k\pi}{P}$. If $d \mid k$, then, by L'Hôpital's rule, we have a limit:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{s\to\frac{k\pi}{P}} \frac{\sin B_{ab}(P)s - \sin A_{ab}s}{2\sin P_d s} &= \lim_{s\to\frac{k\pi}{P}} \frac{\cos A_{ab}s \sin 2Ps}{2\sin P_d s} & (65)\\ &= \left.\frac{2P\cos A_{ab}s \cos 2Ps}{2P_d \cos P_d s}\right|_{s=\frac{k\pi}{P}} & (66)\\ &= d\cos\frac{k\pi}{d}\cos\frac{A_{ab}k\pi}{P} = d\cos\frac{2m_{ab}k\pi}{P} & (67) \end{aligned}$$

By taking $s \to \frac{k\pi}{P}$ in equation (62), we have the theorem. □

For each $p \mid P$, let $\bar{p}$ be the inverse of $p$ in $P/p = P_p$, that is the solution $z \in \mathcal{A}_{1,P}$ of systems:

$$zp \equiv 1 \bmod P_p \text{ and } z \equiv 1 \bmod p \tag{68}$$

For $d \mid P$, a factor of $P$, let $\bar{d} = \prod_{p|d} \bar{p}$, then

$$\bar{d}d = \prod_{p|d} \bar{p}p \equiv 1 \bmod P_d \tag{69}$$

and $\bar{d} \perp P$. For $d = 1$, we understand $\bar{d} = 1$. Let

$$\delta_2(a,b) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 2 \mid [a,b] \text{ and } N \text{ is odd,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{70}$$

and $e(x) = e^{2\pi i x}$.

**Theorem 5.2.** *For $(a,b) \in Q$, let $a' = a/\gcd(2N,a)$, $b' = b/\gcd(2N,b)$ and*

$$m'_{ab} = \delta_2(a,b)N\overline{P_2}P_2 + N\sum_{p|a'} \overline{P_p}P_p - N\sum_{p|b'} \overline{P_p}P_p \tag{71}$$

*then $m_{ab} \equiv m'_{ab} \bmod [a,b]$. Let $k \geq 1$ and $d = P/[a,b]$. If $d \mid k$, then*

$$e\left(\frac{m_{ab}k}{P}\right) = e\left(\delta_2(a,b)kN\frac{\overline{P_2}}{2} + kN\sum_{p|a'} \frac{\overline{P_p}}{p} - kN\sum_{p|b'} \frac{\overline{P_p}}{p}\right) \tag{72}$$

*Proof.* First, we prove $a \mid N - m'_{ab}$. Assume prime $q \mid a$. If $q \mid N$, then $q \mid N - m'_{ab}$. Now assume $q \nmid N$. Notice that $q \mid P_p$ unless $p = q$ and $q \mid \overline{P_q}P_q - 1$. If $q$ is odd, then $q \mid a'$ and $q \mid N - m'_{ab}$. If $q \nmid N$ and $q = 2$, then $\delta_2(a,b) = 1$, $m'_{ab}$ is odd and $2 \mid N - m'_{ab}$. Therefore, $a \mid N - m'_{ab}$. Similarly, we have $b \mid N + m'_{ab}$. Thus, $m_{ab} \equiv m'_{ab} \bmod [a,b]$ and $m_{ab}k \equiv m'_{ab}k \bmod P$. Since $P_p/P = 1/p$, then

$$e\left(\frac{m_{ab}k}{P}\right) = e\left(\frac{m'_{ab}k}{P}\right) = e\left(\delta_2(a,b)kN\frac{\overline{P_2}}{2} + kN\sum_{p|a'} \frac{\overline{P_p}}{p} - kN\sum_{p|b'} \frac{\overline{P_p}}{p}\right) \tag{73}$$

This completes the proof. □

**Theorem 5.3.** *For $d \mid P$ and integer $k \geq 1$, if $d \mid k$, then*

$$\sum_{(a,b)\in Q_d} \cos\frac{2m_{ab}k\pi}{P} = (-1)^{k+kP_{dN}} \prod_{p|P_{2dN}} 2\cos\frac{2kN\overline{P_p}\pi}{p} \tag{74}$$

*Proof.* For $(a,b) \in Q_d$, let $a' = a/\gcd(2N,a)$ and $b' = b/\gcd(2N,b)$, then $P_{2dN} = [a',b']$. In fact, by the definition of $Q_d$, we have $b' = b$ and $P_{2dN} = a'b'$. If $P_{dN}$ is odd, then $P_{dN} = P_{2dN}$ and $\delta_2(a,b) = 0$. By the previous theorem, we have

$$\sum_{(a,b)\in Q_d} e\left(\frac{m_{ab}k}{P}\right) = \sum_{J(P_{2dN})} e\left(kN\sum_{p|P_{2dN}} j_p\frac{\overline{P_p}}{p}\right) \tag{75}$$

where summation condition $J(P_{2dN})$ goes over all $j_p = \pm 1$ for each $p \mid P_{2dN}$. Thus,

$$\sum_{(a,b)\in Q_d} e\left(\frac{m_{ab}k}{P}\right) = \prod_{p|P_{2dN}} \left(e\left(kN\frac{\overline{P_p}}{p}\right) + e\left(-kN\frac{\overline{P_p}}{p}\right)\right) = \prod_{p|P_{2dN}} 2\cos\frac{2kN\overline{P_p}\pi}{p} \tag{76}$$

Since it is real, then

$$\sum_{(a,b)\in Q_d} \cos\frac{2m_{ab}k\pi}{P} = \sum_{(a,b)\in Q_d} e\left(\frac{m_{ab}k}{P}\right) = \prod_{p|P_{2dN}} 2\cos\frac{2kN\overline{P_p}\pi}{p} \tag{77}$$

and the theorem is valid for odd $P_{dN}$. If $P_{dN}$ is even, then $N$ is odd, $\delta_2(a,b)=1$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{(a,b)\in Q_d} e\left(\frac{m_{ab}k}{P}\right) &= \sum_{J(P_{2dN})} e\left(\frac{kN\overline{P_2}}{2} + kN\sum_{p|P_{2dN}} j_p\frac{\overline{P_p}}{p}\right) &(78)\\ &= e\left(\frac{kN\overline{P_2}}{2}\right)\sum_{J(P_{2dN})} e\left(kN\sum_{p|P_{2dN}} j_p\frac{\overline{P_p}}{p}\right) &(79)\end{aligned}$$

Since $e\left(\frac{kN\overline{P_2}}{2}\right) = (-1)^k$ when $P_{dN}$ is even, then we complete the proof. □

**Theorem 5.4. (Goldbach cosine sum-product formula on $W_P(N)$)** *For $k \geq 1$,*

$$\sum_{n\in W_P(N)} \cos\frac{2nk\pi}{P} = \mu(N_P)\prod_{p|\gcd(k,N_P)}(1-p)\prod_{p|P_{2N}}\alpha_p(P,kN) \tag{80}$$

where

$$\alpha_p(P,kN) = \begin{cases} p-2 & \text{if } p \mid k,\\ -2\cos\frac{2kN\overline{P_p}\pi}{p} & \text{if } p \nmid k\end{cases} \tag{81}$$

*Proof.* By use of formula (74) and $\mu(P_d)=\mu(P)\mu(d)$, equation (61) becomes

$$\sum_{n\in W_P(N)} \cos\frac{2nk\pi}{P} = \mu(P)\sum_{d|\gcd(k,P)}(-1)^{k+kP_{dN}}\mu(d)d\prod_{p|P_{2dN}} 2\cos\frac{2kN\overline{P_p}\pi}{p} \tag{82}$$

Since $\cos\frac{2kN\overline{P_p}\pi}{p} = 1$ for $p \mid k$, then

$$\sum_{n\in W_P(N)} \cos\frac{2nk\pi}{P} = \mu(P)\prod_{p|P_{2N}} 2\cos\frac{2kN\overline{P_p}\pi}{p}\sum_{d|\gcd(k,P)} z_k(d) \tag{83}$$

where

$$z_k(d) = (-1)^{k+kP_{dN}}\mu(d)d\prod_{p|\gcd(d,P_{2N})}\frac{1}{2} \tag{84}$$

Assume odd prime $p \mid \gcd(k,P)$. Thus, $(-1)^{k+kP_{dN}} = (-1)^{k+kP_{dpN}}$ for $d \mid \gcd(k,P_p)$. If $p \mid N_P$, then

$$\sum_{d|\gcd(k,P)} z_k(d) = \sum_{d|\gcd(k,P_p)}(z_k(d)+z_k(pd)) = (1-p)\sum_{d|\gcd(k,P_p)} z_k(d) \tag{85}$$

If $p \mid P_{2N}$, then

$$\sum_{d|\gcd(k,P)} z_k(d) = \sum_{d|\gcd(k,P_p)}(z_k(d)+z_k(pd)) = \left(1-\frac{p}{2}\right)\sum_{d|\gcd(k,P_p)} z_k(d) \tag{86}$$

Let

$$z'_k = \prod_{\substack{p|\gcd(k,N_P)\\ p\geq 3}}(1-p)\sum_{d|\gcd(2,k,P)}(-1)^{k+kP_{dN}}\mu(d)d \tag{87}$$

then

$$\sum_{n\in W_P(N)} \cos\frac{2nk\pi}{P} = \mu(P)z'_k \prod_{p|P_{2N}} 2\cos\frac{2kN\overline{P_p}\pi}{p} \prod_{p|\gcd(k,P_{2N})}\left(1-\frac{p}{2}\right) \tag{88}$$

$$= \mu(P)z'_k \prod_{p|P_{2N}} -\alpha_p(P,kN) \tag{89}$$

$$= \mu(P)\mu(P_{2N})z'_k \prod_{p|P_{2N}} \alpha_p(P,kN) \tag{90}$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\sum_{d|\gcd(2,k,P)} (-1)^{k+kP_{dN}}\mu(d)d = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 2\nmid P, \\ (-1)^{k-1} & \text{if } 2\mid P \text{ and } 2\mid N, \\ -1 & \text{if } 2\mid P \text{ and } 2\nmid N \end{cases} \tag{91}$$

If $2\nmid\gcd(k,N_P)$, then we have

$$z'_k = (-1)^{P_N+1}\prod_{p|\gcd(k,N_P)}(1-p) \tag{92}$$

If $2\mid\gcd(k,N_P)$, then $2\mid\gcd(k,N,P)$ and it is simple to verify the formula above is also valid. Since $\mu(P)\mu(P_{2N})(-1)^{P_N+1} = \mu(\gcd(P,2N))(-1)^{P_N+1} = \mu(N_P)$, we complete the proof. □

Goldbach cosine sum-product formula has been verified numerically for $P \le 30030 = 2\cdot 3\cdot 5\cdot 7\cdot 11\cdot 13$.

**Example** Let $N=4$ and $P=15$, then $n = 3, 12$ and 15 for $n\in W_P(N)$. Now we have $\mu(N_P)=1$ and for $k\perp 15$,

$$\cos\frac{3\cdot 2k\pi}{15} + \cos\frac{12\cdot 2k\pi}{15} + \cos\frac{15\cdot 2k\pi}{15} = 4\cos\frac{4k\pi}{3}\cos\frac{6k\pi}{5} \tag{93}$$

Since $\alpha_p(P,kN)=1$ for any integer $k$ if $p=3\mid P_{2N}$, then we also have

$$C_P(N,k) \triangleq \sum_{n\in W_P(N)}\cos\frac{2nk\pi}{P} = \mu(N_P)\prod_{p|\gcd(k,N_P)}(1-p)\prod_{p|P_{6N}}\alpha_p(P,kN) \tag{94}$$

# 6 Goldbach Decomposition Theorem

Let us recall that $\bar{d}$ is the inverse of $d$ in $P_d$: $\bar{d}d\equiv 1 \bmod P_d$, and $V_P^d(N)$ is the set of $k\in P_{cd}^{\perp}$ such that $cdk\in W_P^d(N)$. Purpose of this section is to prove the Goldbach cosine sum-product formula over $W_P^d(N)$.

**Theorem 6.1.** *For $d\mid P_{6N}$, $V_P^d(N) = V_{P_d}^1(\bar{d}N)$.*

*Proof.* Let $c$ be the index of $(P,N)$. For $d\mid P_{6N}$, $k\in V_P^d(N)$ if and only if $(cdk-N)(cdk+N)\perp P$ and $k\in P_{cd}^{\perp}$. Since $(cdk-N)(cdk+N)\perp P$ is equivalent to $(cdk-N)(cdk+N)\perp P_d$ and is equivalent to $(ck-\bar{d}N)(ck+\bar{d}N)\perp P_d$, then $V_P^d(N) = V_{P_d}^1(\bar{d}N)$. □

It is clear that $|W_P^d(N)| = |V_P^d(N)| = |V_{P_d}^1(\bar{d}N)| = |W_{P_d}^1(\bar{d}N)|$.

**Lemma 6.2.** *Assume $g(P,N)$ is defined for all square-free integers $P\ge 1$ and all integers $N\ge 1$. If*

$$\sum_{d|P_{6N}} g(P_d,\bar{d}N) = 0 \tag{95}$$

*for each square-free integer $P\ge 1$ and each integer $N\ge 1$, then $g(P_d,\bar{d}N)=0$ for any $d\mid P_{6N}$.*

*Proof.* We prove this lemma by induction on $\#P$, the number of prime factors in $P$. First, we take $P = 1$ and $\#P = 0$. In this case the lemma is obvious: $g(1, N) = 0$ for all integer $N \geq 1$. Now we assume the lemma is true for $\#P \leq n$, then $g(P_d, \bar{d}N) = 0$ if $\#P_d \leq n$ and $d \mid P_{6N}$. Let $P$ be square-free integer having $n + 1$ prime factors. By assumption, we have

$$\sum_{d|P_{6N}} g(P_d, \bar{d}N) = 0 \tag{96}$$

and $g(P_d, \bar{d}N) = 0$ for any $d > 1$ since $\#P_d \leq n$, then

$$0 = \sum_{d|P_{6N}} g(P_d, \bar{d}N) = g(P, N) \tag{97}$$

and the lemma is proved. □

**Theorem 6.3. (Goldbach decomposition theorem)** *Assume $f(t)$ is defined for $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and $g(P, N)$ is defined for all square-free integers $P \geq 1$ and all integers $N \geq 1$. If the following condition is satisfied:*

$$\sum_{n \in W_P(N)} f\left(\frac{n}{P}\right) = \sum_{d|P_{6N}} g(P_d, \bar{d}N) \tag{98}$$

*for each square-free integer $P \geq 1$ and each integer $N \geq 1$, then for any $d \mid P_{6N}$,*

$$\sum_{n \in W_P^d(N)} f\left(\frac{n}{P}\right) = g(P_d, \bar{d}N) \tag{99}$$

*Proof.* Let

$$g'(P, N) = \sum_{n \in V_P^1(N)} f\left(\frac{n}{P_c}\right) = \sum_{n \in W_P^1(N)} f\left(\frac{n}{P}\right) \tag{100}$$

then for $d \mid P_{6N}$, we have

$$g'(P_d, \bar{d}N) = \sum_{n \in V_{P_d}^1(\bar{d}N)} f\left(\frac{n}{P_{cd}}\right) = \sum_{n \in V_P^d(N)} f\left(\frac{n}{P_{cd}}\right) = \sum_{n \in W_P^d(N)} f\left(\frac{n}{P}\right) \tag{101}$$

and

$$\sum_{n \in W_P(N)} f\left(\frac{n}{P}\right) = \sum_{d|P_{6N}} \sum_{n \in W_P^d(N)} f\left(\frac{n}{P}\right) = \sum_{d|P_{6N}} g'(P_d, \bar{d}N) \tag{102}$$

By the assumption of this theorem, we have

$$\sum_{n \in W_P(N)} f\left(\frac{n}{P}\right) = \sum_{d|P_{6N}} g(P_d, \bar{d}N) = \sum_{d|P_{6N}} g'(P_d, \bar{d}N) \tag{103}$$

Thus, by the previous lemma, we have $g(P_d, \bar{d}N) = g'(P_d, \bar{d}N)$ for all $d \mid P_{6N}$. □

**Lemma 6.4.** *For $d \mid P_{6N}$, $p \mid P_{6dN}$ and $k \geq 1$, $\alpha_p(P, kN) = \alpha_p(P_d, k\bar{d}N)$.*

*Proof.* If $p \mid k$, then $\alpha_p(P, kN) = \alpha_p(P_d, k\bar{d}N) = p - 2$. Now we assume $p \nmid k$. Let $P' = P_d$ and $P'_p = P'/p$, then $P'_p = P_{dp}$. Let $\overline{P'_p}$ be the inverse of $P'_p$ in $p$: $P'_p\overline{P'_p} \equiv 1 \bmod p$. Since

$$\overline{P_p} = \overline{dP_{dp}} = \bar{d} \cdot \overline{P_{dp}} \equiv \bar{d} \cdot \overline{P_{dp}} P'_p \overline{P'_p} = \bar{d} \cdot \overline{P_{dp}} P_{dp} \cdot \overline{P'_p} \equiv \bar{d} \cdot \overline{P'_p} \bmod p \tag{104}$$

then by the definition, we have

$$\alpha_p(P, kN) = -2\cos\frac{kN\overline{P_p}\pi}{p} = -2\cos\frac{k\bar{d}N\overline{P'_p}\pi}{p} = \alpha_p(P_d, k\bar{d}N) \tag{105}$$

Thus, the proof is complete. □

**Theorem 6.5. (Goldbach cosine sum-product formula on $W_P^d(N)$)** *For $d \mid P_{6N}$ and $k \geq 1$,*

$$C_P^d(N,k) \triangleq \sum_{n \in W_P^d(N)} \cos\frac{2nk\pi}{P} = \mu(N_P) \prod_{p \mid \gcd(k,N_P)} (1-p) \prod_{p \mid P_{6dN}} (\alpha_p(P,kN)-1) \tag{106}$$

*Proof.* Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \in W_P(N)} \cos\frac{2nk\pi}{P} &= \mu(N_P) \prod_{p \mid \gcd(k,N_P)} (1-p) \prod_{p \mid P_{6N}} \alpha_p(P,kN) &(107)\\ &= \mu(N_P) \prod_{p \mid \gcd(k,N_P)} (1-p) \sum_{d \mid P_{6N}} \prod_{p \mid P_{6dN}} (\alpha_p(P,kN)-1) &(108) \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$h(P,N,k) = \mu(N_P) \prod_{p \mid \gcd(k,N_P)} (1-p) \tag{109}$$

then $h(P_d, \bar{d}N, k) = h(P,N,k) \triangleq a_k$ is constant for $d \mid P_{6N}$. Since $\alpha_p(P,kN) = \alpha_p(P_d, k\bar{d}N)$ for any $d \mid P_{6pN}$, then

$$g(P_d, \bar{d}N) \triangleq a_k \prod_{p \mid P_{6d\bar{d}N}} \big(\alpha_p(P_d, k\bar{d}N) - 1\big) = a_k \prod_{p \mid P_{6dN}} (\alpha_p(P,kN)-1) \tag{110}$$

and

$$\sum_{n \in W_P(N)} \cos\frac{2nk\pi}{P} = \sum_{d \mid P_{6N}} g(P_d, \bar{d}N) \tag{111}$$

By the Goldbach decomposition theorem, we have

$$\sum_{n \in W_P^d(N)} \cos\frac{2nk\pi}{P} = g(P_d, \bar{d}N) = \mu(N_P) \prod_{p \mid \gcd(k,N_P)} (1-p) \prod_{p \mid P_{6dN}} (\alpha_p(P,kN)-1) \tag{112}$$

This completes the proof. □

**Theorem 6.6.** *For $d \mid P_{6N}$ and $k \geq 1$, $C_P^d(N,k) = C_{P_d}^1(\bar{d}N, k)$.*

This is because

$$\sum_{n \in W_P^d(N)} \cos\frac{2nk\pi}{P} = \sum_{n \in V_P^d(N)} \cos\frac{2nk\pi}{P_{cd}} = \sum_{n \in V_{P_d}^1(\bar{d}N)} \cos\frac{2nk\pi}{P_{cd}} = \sum_{n \in W_{P_d}^1(\bar{d}N)} \cos\frac{2nk\pi}{P_d} \tag{113}$$

# 7 Goldbach Counting Function

For $x > 0$, let $S_P(N,x)$ be the number of $n \in \mathcal{I}_{1,x}$ such that $(N-n)(N+n) \perp P$. For $d \mid P_{6N}$, $S_P^d(N,x)$ be the number of $n \in \mathcal{I}_{1,x}$ such that $(N-n)(N+n) \perp P$ and $\gcd(P_{6N}, n) = d$. Both of them are called the Goldbach counting functions. We define

$$t_P(N) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } P \in W_P(N), \\ 0 & \text{if } P \notin W_P(N) \end{cases} \quad \text{and } T_P(N,x) = \sum_{n \in W_P(N)} \left( \left\{ \frac{x-n}{P} \right\} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \tag{114}$$

**Theorem 7.1.** *Goldbach counting function can be given as follows:*

$$S_P(N,x) = |W_P(N)| \frac{x}{P} - t_P(N) - T_P(N,x) \tag{115}$$

*Proof.* We only need to prove the formula for $0 < x \le P$. For $0 < x \le P$, we have

$$S_P(N,x) = \sum_{n\in W_P(N)} \left\lfloor \frac{P+x-n}{P} \right\rfloor \tag{116}$$

$$= \sum_{n\in W_P(N)} \frac{P+x-n}{P} - \sum_{n\in W_P(N)} \left\{ \frac{P+x-n}{P} \right\} \tag{117}$$

$$= |W_P(N)|\frac{x}{P} + \sum_{n\in W_P(N)} \frac{P-n}{P} - \sum_{n\in W_P(N)} \left\{ \frac{x-n}{P} \right\} \tag{118}$$

Since $P-n \in W_P(N)$ if $1 \le n < P$, then

$$\sum_{n\in W_P(N)} \frac{P-n}{P} = \sum_{n\in W_P(N)} \frac{P-n}{2P} + \sum_{n\in W_P(N)} \frac{n}{2P} - t_P(N) = \sum_{n\in W_P(N)} \frac{1}{2} - t_P(N) \tag{119}$$

That completes the proof. □

By this theorem, we now extend the range of $x$ in $T_P(N,x)$ and $S_P(N,x)$ to the whole reals.

**Theorem 7.2.** *If $Pt$ is not integer, then*

$$T_P(N,Pt) = -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_P(N,k)\frac{\sin 2k\pi t}{k\pi} \tag{120}$$

*Proof.* For $0 < t < 1$, we have

$$\{-t\} - \frac{1}{2} = 1 - t - \frac{1}{2} = -\left(\{t\} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{121}$$

Thus, $\{t\}-\frac{1}{2}$ is an odd function of $t$ and its Fourier transform has only sine terms. We calculate its coefficient as follows for $k \ge 1$:

$$2\int_0^1 \left(t-\frac{1}{2}\right)\sin(2k\pi t)dt = -\frac{1}{k\pi}t\cos(2k\pi t)\Big|_{t=0}^{t=1} - \frac{1}{k\pi}\int_0^1 \cos(2k\pi t)dt = -\frac{1}{k\pi} \tag{122}$$

Thus, if $t \ne \frac{n}{P}$ for $n \in W_P(N)$, or more stronger condition: if $Pt$ is not integer, then

$$T_P(N,Pt) = \sum_{n\in W_P(N)} \left(\left\{t-\frac{n}{P}\right\} - \frac{1}{2}\right) = -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{k\pi}\sum_{n\in W_P(N)} \sin 2k\left(t-\frac{n}{P}\right)\pi \tag{123}$$

Since

$$\sin 2k\left(t-\frac{n}{P}\right)\pi = \cos\frac{2nk\pi}{P}\sin 2k\pi t - \sin\frac{2nk\pi}{P}\cos 2k\pi t \tag{124}$$

and $P-n \in W_P(N)$ if $n \in W_P(N)$ and $n > 0$, then

$$T_P(N,Pt) = -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{\sin 2k\pi t}{k\pi}\sum_{n\in W_P(N)}\cos\frac{2nk\pi}{P} = -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_P(N,k)\frac{\sin 2k\pi t}{k\pi} \tag{125}$$

Since $T_P(N,Pt)$ is a periodic function of $t$ with period 1, then we have the theorem. □

For $d \mid P_{6N}$, we define

$$T_P^d(N,Pt) = \sum_{n\in W_P^d(N)} \left(\left\{t-\frac{n}{P}\right\} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{126}$$

By calculation of its Fourier coefficients, if $Pt$ is not integer, we have

$$T_P^d(N,Pt) = -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_P^d(N,k)\frac{\sin 2k\pi t}{k\pi} \tag{127}$$

Notice that $P \in W_P(N)$ if and only if $N_P = 1$. Let

$$\delta_6(n,m) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } n \mid 6 \text{ and } n \perp m, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{128}$$

and $t_P^d(N) = \delta_6(P_d, \bar{d}N)$ for $d \mid P_{6N}$.

**Lemma 7.3. (Decomposition of $t_P(N)$)**

$$t_P(N) = \sum_{d|P_{6N}} t_P^d(N) = \sum_{d|P_{6N}} \delta_6(P_d, \bar{d}N) \tag{129}$$

*Proof.* Let $d' = P_{6N}$. If $d \mid P_{6N}$ and $d < P_{6N}$, then $P_d \nmid 6$, $\delta_6(P_d, \bar{d}N) = 0$ and

$$\sum_{d|P_{6N}} \delta_6(P_d, \bar{d}N) = \delta_6(P_{d'}, \bar{d}'N) \tag{130}$$

Since $P_{d'} = P/d' = P/P_{6N} = \gcd(P, 6N)$ and $\bar{d}'d' \perp P_{d'}$, then

$$\gcd(P_{d'}, \bar{d}'N) = \gcd(P_{d'}, N) = \gcd(P, 6N, N) = \gcd(P, N) = N_P \tag{131}$$

If $t_P(N) = 0$, then $N_P > 1$ and $\delta_6(P_{d'}, \bar{d}'N) = 0$. If $t_P(N) = \frac{1}{2}$, then $N_P = 1$. Thus, $P_{d'} = \gcd(P, 6) \mid 6$ and $P_{d'} \perp \bar{d}N$. By definition, $\delta_6(P_{d'}, \bar{d}'N) = \frac{1}{2}$. Hence, $\delta_6(P_{d'}, \bar{d}'N) = t_P(N)$. □

**Theorem 7.4.** *For $d \mid P_{6N}$, $S_P^d(N,Pt) = S_{P_d}^1(\bar{d}N, P_d t)$ and $T_P^d(N,Pt) = T_{P_d}^1(\bar{d}N, P_d t)$.*

*Proof.* We need to prove the theorem only for $0 < t < 1$ and now we assume $0 < t < 1$. Since $cdk \in W_P^d(N)$ and $cdk \le Pt$ if and only if $ck \in W_{P_d}^1(\bar{d}N)$ and $ck \le P_d t$, then $S_P^d(N,Pt) = S_{P_d}^1(\bar{d}N, P_d t)$. Since $C_P^d(N,k) = C_{P_d}^1(\bar{d}N, k)$ for $d \mid P_{6N}$, then $T_P^d(N,Pt) = T_{P_d}^1(\bar{d}N, P_d t)$. □

**Corollary 7.5.** *For $d \mid P_{6N}$ and $d' \mid P_{6dN}$, $T_{P_d}^{d'}(\bar{d}N, P_d t) = T_{P_{dd'}}^1(\overline{dd'}N, P_{dd'}t) = T_P^{dd'}(N,Pt)$.*

**Theorem 7.6. (Decomposition of $S_P(N,Pt)$)** *For $d \mid P_{6N}$,*

$$S_P^d(N,Pt) = |W_P^d(N)|t - t_P^d(N) - T_P^d(N,Pt) \tag{132}$$

*Proof.* Since we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} S_P(N,Pt) &= |W_P(N)|t - t_P(N) - T_P(N,Pt) && (133)\\ \sum_{d|P_{6N}} S_P^d(N,Pt) &= \sum_{d|P_{6N}} \left(|W_P^d(N)|t - t_P^d(N) - T_P^d(N,Pt)\right) && (134)\\ \sum_{d|P_{6N}} S_{P_d}^1(\bar{d}N, P_d t) &= \sum_{d|P_{6N}} \left(|W_{P_d}^1(\bar{d}N)|t - \delta_6(P_d, \bar{d}N) - T_{P_d}^1(\bar{d}N, P_d t)\right) && (135) \end{aligned}$$

Then the theorem follows by Goldbach decomposition theorem. □

By this theorem, again, for each $d \mid P_{6N}$, we now extend the range of $x$ in $T_P^d(N,x)$ and $S_P^d(N,x)$ to the whole reals.

# 8 Deduction Formula for Goldbach Counting Function

Let us start with deduction formula for $T_P(N,x)$ in terms of $T_{P_p}(N,x)$ for $p \mid P_{2N}$.

**Lemma 8.1.** *$C_P(N,k) = C_{P_p}(\bar{p}N,k)\alpha_p(P,kN)$ for $p \mid P_{2N}$ and $k \geq 1$.*

*Proof.* Let $P' = P_p$ and $P'_n = P'/\gcd(P',n)$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_P(N,k) &= \alpha_p(P,kN)\mu(N_P) \prod_{q\mid\gcd(k,N_P)} (1-q) \prod_{q\mid P_{2pN}} \alpha_q(P,kN) && (136)\\ &= \alpha_p(P,kN)\mu(N_{P'}) \prod_{q\mid\gcd(k,N_{P'})} (1-q) \prod_{q\mid P'_{2N}} \alpha_q(P,kN) && (137)\end{aligned}$$

Let $\overline{P'_q}$ be the inverse of $P'_q$ in $q$ for $q \mid P'_{2N} = P_{2pN}$: $\overline{P'_q}P'_q \equiv 1 \bmod q$. Since

$$\bar{p}\overline{P'_q} \equiv \bar{p}\overline{P'_q}P_{pq}\overline{P_{pq}} = \bar{p}\overline{P'_q}P'_q\overline{P_{pq}} \equiv \bar{p}\cdot\overline{P_{pq}} = \overline{pP_{pq}} = \overline{P_q} \bmod q \tag{138}$$

then $\alpha_q(P,kN) = \alpha_q(P',k\bar{p}N)$. This completes the proof. □

Similarly, we have

**Lemma 8.2.** *$C^d_P(N,k) = C^d_{P_p}(\bar{p}N,k)(\alpha_p(P,kN)-1)$ for $d \mid P_{6N}$, $p \mid P_{6dN}$ and $k \geq 1$.*

**Theorem 8.3. (First deduction formula for $T_P(N,x)$)** *If $p \mid P_{2N}$ and $x$ is not integer, then*

$$T_P(N,x) = T_{P_p}(N,x) - T_{P_p}\left(\bar{p}N, \frac{N\overline{P_p}P_p + x}{p}\right) + T_{P_p}\left(\bar{p}N, \frac{N\overline{P_p}P_p - x}{p}\right) \tag{139}$$

*Proof.* For $p \mid P_{2N}$, we have $C_P(N,k) = C_{P_p}(\bar{p}N,k)\alpha_p(P,kN)$. Let $t = x/P$ and $y = N\overline{P_p}/p$. Since $x$ is not integer, then by the definition of $\alpha_p(P,kN)$,

$$\begin{aligned} T_P(N,x) &= -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{P_p}(\bar{p}N,k)\alpha_p(P,kN)\frac{\sin 2k\pi t}{k\pi} && (140)\\ &= 2\sum_{\substack{k=1\\ p\nmid k}}^{\infty} C_{P_p}(\bar{p}N,k)\cos 2ky\pi\frac{\sin 2k\pi t}{k\pi} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{P_p}(\bar{p}N,pk)(p-2)\frac{\sin 2pk\pi t}{pk\pi} && (141)\\ &= 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{P_p}(\bar{p}N,k)\cos 2ky\pi\frac{\sin 2k\pi t}{k\pi} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{P_p}(\bar{p}N,pk)\frac{\sin 2pk\pi t}{k\pi} && (142)\end{aligned}$$

Since $\bar{p}p \equiv 1 \bmod q$ for any prime $q \mid P_{2pN}$, then $C_{P_p}(\bar{p}N,pk) = C_{P_p}(N,k)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} T_P(N,x) &= 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{P_p}(\bar{p}N,k)\cos 2ky\pi\frac{\sin 2k\pi t}{k\pi} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{P_p}(N,k)\frac{\sin 2pk\pi t}{k\pi} && (143)\\ &= 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{P_p}(\bar{p}N,k)\cos 2ky\pi\frac{\sin 2k\pi t}{k\pi} + T_{P_p}(N,x) && (144)\end{aligned}$$

Since $2\cos 2ky\pi \sin 2k\pi t = \sin 2k\pi(y+t) - \sin 2k\pi(y-t)$,

$$P_p(y+t) = \frac{N\overline{P_p}P_p + x}{p} \text{ and } P_p(y-t) = \frac{N\overline{P_p}P_p - x}{p} \tag{145}$$

then we have the proof. □

**Theorem 8.4. (First deduction formula for $S_P(N,x)$)** *If $p \mid P_{2N}$ and $x$ is not integer, then*

$$S_P(N,x) = S_{P_p}(N,x) - S_{P_p}\left(\bar{p}N, \frac{N\overline{P_p}P_p + x}{p}\right) + S_{P_p}\left(\bar{p}N, \frac{N\overline{P_p}P_p - x}{p}\right) \tag{146}$$

*Proof.* Let $t = x/P$ and $z = N\overline{P_p}P_p$. Since $|W_P(N)| = |W_{P_p}(N)|(p-2)$ and $|W_{P_p}(\bar{p}N)| = |W_{P_p}(N)|$, then

$$\begin{aligned} S_P(N,x) &= |W_{P_p}(N)|(p-2)t - t_P(N) - T_P(N,x) && (147)\\ S_{P_p}(N,x) &= |W_{P_p}(N)|pt - t_{P_p}(N) - T_{P_p}(N,x) && (148)\\ S_{P_p}\left(\bar{p}N, \frac{z+x}{p}\right) &= |W_{P_p}(N)|\frac{z+x}{P} - t_{P_p}(\bar{p}N) - T_{P_d}\left(\bar{p}N, \frac{z+x}{p}\right) && (149)\\ S_{P_p}\left(\bar{p}N, \frac{z-x}{p}\right) &= |W_{P_p}(N)|\frac{z-x}{P} - t_{P_p}(\bar{p}N) - T_{P_d}\left(\bar{p}N, \frac{z-x}{p}\right) && (150) \end{aligned}$$

Since $t_P(N) = t_{P_p}(N)$, then by the previous theorem, we have the formula. □

Again, this formula is verified numerically for several cases.

**Theorem 8.5. (First deduction formula for $T_P^d(N,x)$)** *If $p \mid P_{6N}$, $d \mid P_{6pN}$ and $x$ is not integer, then*

$$T_P^d(N,x) = T_{P_p}^d(N,x) - T_P^{dp}(N,x) - T_P^{dp}(N, N\overline{P_p}P_p + x) + T_P^{dp}(N, N\overline{P_p}P_p - x) \quad (151)$$

*Proof.* For $d \mid P_{6pN}$, $C_P^d(N,k) = C_{P_p}^d(\bar{p}N,k)(\alpha_p(P,kN) - 1)$. Let $t = x/P$, then

$$\begin{aligned} T_P^d(N,x) &= -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{P_p}^d(\bar{p}N,k)(\alpha_p(P,kN)-1)\frac{\sin 2k\pi t}{k\pi} && (152)\\ &= -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{P_p}^d(\bar{p}N,k)\alpha_p(P,kN)\frac{\sin 2k\pi t}{k\pi} - T_{P_p}^d(\bar{p}N, P_p t) && (153) \end{aligned}$$

Similar to first deduction formula for $T_P(N,x)$, let $y = N\overline{P_p}/p$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{P_p}^d(\bar{p}N,k)\alpha_p(P,kN)\frac{\sin 2k\pi t}{k\pi} && (154)\\ = \; & 2\sum_{\substack{k=1\\ p\nmid k}}^{\infty} C_{P_p}^d(\bar{p}N,k)\cos 2ky\pi\frac{\sin 2k\pi t}{k\pi} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{P_p}^d(\bar{p}N,pk)(p-2)\frac{\sin 2pk\pi t}{pk\pi} && (155)\\ = \; & 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{P_p}^d(\bar{p}N,k)\cos 2ky\pi\frac{\sin 2k\pi t}{k\pi} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{P_p}^d(\bar{p}N,pk)\frac{\sin 2pk\pi t}{k\pi} && (156) \end{aligned}$$

Since $2\cos 2ky\pi \sin 2k\pi t = \sin 2k\pi(y+t) - \sin 2k\pi(y-t)$ and $C_{P_p}^d(\bar{p}N,pk) = C_{P_p}^d(N,k)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} T_P^d(N,x) &= T_{P_p}^d(N,x) - T_{P_p}^d\left(\bar{p}N, \frac{N\overline{P_p}P_p + x}{p}\right) + T_{P_p}^d\left(\bar{p}N, \frac{N\overline{P_p}P_p - x}{p}\right) - T_{P_p}^d\left(\bar{p}N, \frac{x}{p}\right) && (157)\\ &= T_{P_p}^d(N,x) - T_P^{dp}(N, N\overline{P_p}P_p + x) + T_P^{dp}(N, N\overline{P_p}P_p - x) - T_P^{dp}(N,x) && (158) \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

We have a similar result for $p \mid N_P$ as follows:

**Theorem 8.6. (Second deduction formula for $T_P(N,x)$)** *If $p \mid N_P$ and $x$ is not integer, then*

$$T_P(N,x) = T_{P_p}(N,x) - T_{P_p}\left(\bar{p}N, \frac{x}{p}\right) \quad (159)$$

*Proof.* If $p \mid N_P$, then $\mu(N_P) = -\mu(N_{P_p})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} C_P(N,k) &= -\mu(N_{P_p}) \prod_{q\mid \gcd(k,N_P)} (1-q) \prod_{q\mid P_{6N}} \alpha_q(P,kN) && (160)\\ &= -\mu(N_{P_p})p_k \prod_{q\mid \gcd(k,N_{P_p})} (1-q) \prod_{q\mid P_{6p\bar{p}N}} \alpha_q(P_p, k\bar{p}N) && (161)\\ &= -C_{P_p}(\bar{p}N,k)p_k && (162) \end{aligned}$$

where $p_k = 1$ if $p \nmid k$ and $p_k = 1 - p$ if $p \mid k$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} T_P(N,x) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{P_p}(\bar{p}N,k)p_k\frac{\sin 2k\pi t}{k\pi} && (163)\\ &= \sum_{\substack{k=1\\ p\nmid k}}^{\infty} C_{P_p}(\bar{p}N,k)\frac{\sin 2k\pi t}{k\pi} + (1-p)\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{P_p}(\bar{p}N,pk)\frac{\sin 2pk\pi t}{pk\pi} && (164)\\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{P_p}(\bar{p}N,k)\frac{\sin 2k\pi t}{k\pi} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{P_p}(\bar{p}N,pk)\frac{\sin 2pk\pi t}{k\pi} && (165)\\ &= -T_{P_p}\left(\bar{p}N,\frac{x}{p}\right) + T_{P_p}(N,x) && (166) \end{aligned}$$

and we have the proof. □

Since $C_P^d(N,k) = -C_{P_p}^d(\bar{p}N,k)p_k$ for $p \mid N_P$ and $d \mid P_{6N}$, then

**Theorem 8.7. (Second deduction formula for $T_P^d(N,x)$)** *If $p \mid N_P$ and $x$ is not integer, then for $d \mid P_{6N}$,*

$$T_P^d(N,x) = T_{P_p}^d(N,x) - T_{P_p}^d\left(\bar{p}N,\frac{x}{p}\right) \tag{167}$$

**Theorem 8.8. (Third deduction formula for $T_P(N,x)$)** *Let $c$ be the index of $(P,N)$. If $x$ is not integer and $d \mid P_{6N}$, then*

$$T_P(N,x) = T_{P_c}\left(\bar{c}N,\frac{x}{c}\right) \text{ and } T_P^d(N,x) = T_{P_c}^d\left(\bar{c}N,\frac{x}{c}\right) \tag{168}$$

This is because $C_P(N,k) = C_{P_c}(\bar{c}N,k)$ and $C_P^d(N,k) = C_{P_c}^d(\bar{c}N,k)$ for any integer $k$.

# 9 Densities of $W_P(N)$ and $W_P^d(N)$

We give an estimate of $|W_P(N)|/P$ when $P$ is the product of all primes $\le z$. First let us define

$$C_1 = e^{\gamma} \approx 1.781072418 \tag{169}$$

where $\gamma \approx 0.5772156649$ is Euler-Mascheroni constant. Let

$$C_2 = \prod_{p\ge 3}\frac{(p-2)p}{(p-1)^2} \approx 0.66016 \text{ and } d_N = \prod_{\substack{p\mid N\\ p\ge 3}}\frac{p-1}{p-2} \tag{170}$$

Let $\omega_P(N) = |W_P(N)|/P$, the density of $W_P(N)$ between 1 and $P$, then

$$\omega_P(N) = \frac{|W_P(N)|}{P} = \frac{1}{c'}\prod_{p\mid N_P}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)\prod_{p\mid P_{2N}}\left(1-\frac{2}{p}\right) \tag{171}$$

where $c' = \frac{\gcd(NP,2)}{\gcd(N,2)}$.

**Theorem 9.1.** *If $P$ is the product of all primes $\le z$, then*

$$\omega_P(N) = 2\prod_{3\le p\le t}\frac{(p-2)p}{(p-1)^2}\prod_{\substack{p\mid N\\ 3\le p\le z}}\frac{p-1}{p-2}\prod_{2\le p\le z}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^2 \sim \frac{2C_2d_N}{C_1^2\log^2 z} \tag{172}$$

*The asymptotic formula holds for large $z$.*

*Proof.* By definition, we have

$$\omega_P(N) = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{\substack{p|N \\ 3\le p\le z}} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \prod_{3\le p\le z} \left(1-\frac{2}{p}\right) \tag{173}$$

By the following identities

$$\left(1-\frac{2}{p}\right) = \left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^2 \frac{(p-2)p}{(p-1)^2} \tag{174}$$

$$\prod_{3\le p\le z} \left(1-\frac{2}{p}\right) = 4 \prod_{2\le p\le z} \left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^2 \prod_{3\le p\le z} \frac{(p-2)p}{(p-1)^2} \tag{175}$$

we have

$$\omega_P(N) = 2 \prod_{3\le p\le z} \frac{(p-2)p}{(p-1)^2} \prod_{\substack{p|N \\ 3\le p\le z}} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \prod_{2\le p\le z} \left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^2 \tag{176}$$

First product approaches to $C_2$ as $z$ approaches to infinity and second product approaches to $d_N$. Third product approaches to $e^{-2\gamma}\log^{-2} z$ as the Mertens' 3rd theorem gives

$$\lim_{y\to\infty} \log y \prod_{2\le p\le y} \left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right) = e^{-\gamma} \tag{177}$$

That completes the proof. □

Hardy and Littlewood made the following assertion: asymptotic formula [3] and [5]:

$$S_P(N,N) \sim \frac{2C_2 d_N}{\log^2 N} N \tag{178}$$

where $z = \sqrt{2N}$. Since $\omega_P(N)N = S_P(N,N) + T_P(N,N) + t_P(N)$ and

$$\omega_P(N)N \sim \frac{8C_2 d_N}{C_1^2 \log^2 N} N \tag{179}$$

then, we will have, if Hardy and Littlewood are correct,

$$\frac{T_P(N,N)}{S_P(N,N)} \approx \frac{\omega_P(N)N - S_P(N,N)}{S_P(N,N)} \sim \frac{8/C_1^2 - 2}{2} \approx 0.260947 \tag{180}$$

It means the error term $T_P(N,N)$ holds 26% of target function $S_P(N,N)$. Numerical calculation strongly supports this assertion.

For $d \mid P_{6N}$, the density of $W_P^d(N)$ between 1 and $P$ is defined as

$$\omega_P^d(N) \triangleq \frac{|W_P^d(N)|}{P} = \frac{1}{cd} \prod_{p|N_P} \left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right) \prod_{p|P_{6dN}} \left(1-\frac{3}{p}\right) \tag{181}$$

where $c = \frac{\gcd(NP,6)}{\gcd(N,6)}$, the index of $(P,N)$. By use of this density, we have

**Theorem 9.2.** *For $d \mid P_{6N}$, $S_P^d(N,x) = x\omega_P^d(N) - t_P^d(N) - T_P^d(N,x)$.*

Let

$$C_3 = \prod_{p\ge 5} \frac{(p-3)p^2}{(p-1)^3} \approx 0.635166 \text{ and } d'_N = \prod_{3|N} 2 \prod_{\substack{p|N \\ p\ge 5}} \frac{p-1}{p-3} \tag{182}$$

**Theorem 9.3.** *If $P$ is the product of all primes $\le z$, then density*

$$\omega_P^1(N) = \frac{9}{2}\prod_{5\le p\le z}\frac{(p-3)p^2}{(p-1)^3}\prod_{3|N}2\prod_{\substack{p|N\\5\le p\le z}}\frac{p-1}{p-3}\prod_{2\le p\le z}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^3 \sim \frac{9C_3 d'_N}{2C_1^3\log^3 z} \tag{183}$$

*The asymptotic formula holds for large $z$.*

*Proof.* Now we have $\gcd(NP,6)=6$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_P^1(N) &= \frac{\gcd(N,6)}{6}\prod_{p|\gcd(N,6)}\frac{p-1}{p}\prod_{\substack{p|N\\5\le p\le z}}\frac{p-1}{p-3}\prod_{5\le p\le z}\left(1-\frac{3}{p}\right) &(184)\\ &= \frac{1}{6}\prod_{3|N}2\prod_{\substack{p|N\\5\le p\le z}}\frac{p-1}{p-3}\prod_{5\le p\le z}\left(1-\frac{3}{p}\right) &(185)\end{aligned}$$

By the following identities

$$\begin{aligned}\left(1-\frac{3}{p}\right) &= \left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^3\frac{(p-3)p^2}{(p-1)^3} &(186)\\ \prod_{5\le p\le z}\left(1-\frac{3}{p}\right) &= 27\prod_{2\le p\le z}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^3\prod_{5\le p\le z}\frac{(p-3)p^2}{(p-1)^3} &(187)\end{aligned}$$

we have the theorem. □

Let $\#N$ be the number of prime factors in $N$. It is easy to see that $2^{\#N} < \sqrt{N}$ when $N$ is large. Thus, by taking $z=\sqrt{2N}$ in the previous theorem, we have $(N-2)\omega_P^1(N) > 2^{\#N-1}$ for large $N$. Also, we have $N\omega_P^1(N) > 4$ for large $N$. Numerical calculation shows that $N \ge 312$ will meet these conditions.

**Theorem 9.4.** *For $N \ge N_0 \triangleq 312$, $(N-2)\omega_P^1(N) > 2^{\#N-1}$ and $N\omega_P^1(N) > 4$.*

We need this result in the next section.

# 10 Even Number as a Sum of Two Distinct Primes

We will prove in this section that, under **UBH**, even number $2N$ is a sum of two distinct primes for $N \ge N_0 = 312$, more specifically, $S_P(N, N-2) \ge 1$ where $P$ is the product of all primes $\le \sqrt{2N}$. First we introduce three lemmas. We assume $x > 0$ in the following three lemmas.

**Lemma 10.1.** *Assume $p \mid P_{6N}$ and $d \mid P_{6pN}$. If $T_P^1(N,x) \le T_{P_p}^1(N,x)$ and $T_P^1(N,x) \le T_{P_d}^1(N,x)$, then $T_P^1(N,x) \le T_{P_{dp}}^1(N,x) + t_{P_{dp}}^1(N)$.*

*Proof.* By assumption, $T_{P_d}^1(N,x) + T_{P_p}^1(N,x) - 2T_P^1(N,x) \ge 0$ and $t_P^1(N) = t_{P_p}^1(N) = t_{P_d}^1(N) = 0$. Thus,

$$S_{P_d}^1(N,x) + S_{P_p}^1(N,x) - 2S_P^1(N,x) \le x\omega_{P_d}^1(N) + x\omega_{P_p}^1(N) - 2x\omega_P^1(N) \tag{188}$$

Since $S_{P_d}^1(N,x) + S_{P_p}^1(N,x) - S_P^1(N,x) = S_{P_{dp}}^1(N,x)$ by the inclusion-exclusion principle, then

$$S_{P_{dp}}^1(N,x) - S_P^1(N,x) \le x\omega_{P_d}^1(N) + x\omega_{P_p}^1(N) - 2x\omega_P^1(N) \tag{189}$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_{P_d}^1(N) + \omega_{P_p}^1(N) - \omega_P^1(N) &= \omega_{P_{dp}}^1(N)\left(1-\frac{3}{p}+\prod_{q|d}\left(1-\frac{3}{q}\right)-\left(1-\frac{3}{p}\right)\prod_{q|d}\left(1-\frac{3}{q}\right)\right) &(190)\\ &= \omega_{P_{dp}}^1(N)\left(1-\frac{3}{p}+\frac{3}{p}\prod_{q|d}\left(1-\frac{3}{q}\right)\right) \le \omega_{P_{dp}}^1(N) &(191)\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $S_{P_{dp}}^1(N,x) - S_P^1(N,x) \le x\omega_{P_{dp}}^1(N) - x\omega_P^1(N)$ and $T_P^1(N,x) \le T_{P_{dp}}^1(N,x) + t_{P_{dp}}^1(N)$. □

By this lemma, we get the following by induction method on $d \mid P_{6N}$:

**Lemma 10.2.** *If $T_P^1(N,x) \le T_{P_p}^1(N,x)$ for each $p \mid P_{6N}$, then $T_P^1(N,x) \le T_{P_d}^1(N,x) + t_{P_d}^1(N)$ for any $d \mid P_{6N}$.*

**Lemma 10.3.** *Assume $c \mid 6$, $N$ is square-free and $c \perp N$. Let $P' = cN$. If $x$ is not integer, then $|T_{P'}^1(N,x)| \le 2^{\#N-1}$ where $\#N$ is the number of prime factors in $N$.*

*Proof.* First $P'$ is squre-free and $N_{P'} = N$. For any $p \mid N$, we have $T_{P'}^1(N,x) = T_{P'_p}^1(N,x) - T_{P'_p}^1(\bar{p}N, x/p)$. Thus, we get the following after repeating use of this formula for all $p \mid N$:

$$T_{P'}^1(N,x) = \sum_{d|N} \mu(d) T_c^1\left(\bar{d}N, \frac{x}{d}\right) \tag{192}$$

Since $T_c^1(\bar{d}N, x/d) = T_1^1(\overline{cd}N, x/(cd))$ and

$$\left|T_1^1\left(\overline{cd}N, \frac{x}{cd}\right)\right| = \left|\left\{\frac{x}{cd}\right\} - \frac{1}{2}\right| \le \frac{1}{2} \tag{193}$$

for any $d \mid N$, then

$$\left|T_{P'}^1(N,x)\right| = \left|\sum_{d|N} \mu(d) T_1^1\left(\overline{cd}N, \frac{x}{cd}\right)\right| \le \sum_{d|N} \left|T_1^1\left(\overline{cd}N, \frac{x}{cd}\right)\right| \le \sum_{d|N} \frac{1}{2} = 2^{\#N-1} \tag{194}$$

This completes the proof. □

Let us start with the first upper bound hypothesis in terms of density.

**UBH:** First upper bound hypothesis on $S_P^p(N,x)$. Assume $N \ge N_0$ and $P$ is the product of all primes $\le \sqrt{2N}$. For $p \mid P_{6N}$ and $N/2 \le x < N-1$, the following inequality holds:

$$S_P^p(N, N\overline{P_p}P_p + x) - S_P^p(N, N\overline{P_p}P_p - x) \le 3x\omega_P^p(N) \tag{195}$$

where $y = N\overline{P_p}P_p$ is constant. UBH can be given equivalently in terms of $T_P^p(N,x)$:

**UBH:** First upper bound hypothesis on $T_P^p(N,x)$. Assume $N \ge N_0$ and $P$ is the product of all primes $\le \sqrt{2N}$. For $p \mid P_{6N}$ and $N/2 \le x < N-1$, the following inequality holds:

$$T_P^p(N, N\overline{P_p}P_p - x) - T_P^p(N, N\overline{P_p}P_p + x) \le x\omega_P^p(N) \tag{196}$$

**Theorem 10.4.** *Assume $N \ge N_0$ and $P$ is the product of all primes $\le \sqrt{2N}$. If* **UBH** (196) *is true, then*

$$S_P(N, N-2) \ge 1 \tag{197}$$

*Proof.* It is sufficient to prove $S_P^d(N,x) > 0$ for some $d \mid P_{6N}$ and some non-integer $x$ between $N/2$ and $N-1$. If there is $p \mid P_{6N}$ such that $T_P^p(N,x) < x\omega_P^p(N)$, then

$$S_P^p(N,x) = x\omega_P^p(N) - T_P^p(N,x) > 0 \tag{198}$$

and the theorem is proved. Otherwise, we have $T_P^p(N,x) \ge x\omega_P^p(N)$ for each $p \mid P_{6N}$. From the first deduction formula for $T_P^1(N,x)$ and by **UBH** (196), we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_P^1(N,x) &= T_{P_p}^1(N,x) - T_P^p(N,x) - T_P^p(N, N\overline{P_p}P_p + x) + T_P^p(N, N\overline{P_p}P_p - x) && (199)\\ &\le T_{P_p}^1(N,x) - T_P^p(N,x) + x\omega_P^p(N) \le T_{P_p}^1(N,x) && (200) \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 10.2, we have $T_P^1(N,x) \le T_{P_d}^1(N,x) + t_{P_d}^1(N)$ for any $d \mid P_{6N}$. Let $d' = P_{6N}$ and $P' = P_{d'}$, then $P' \mid 6N$ and $T_P^1(N,x) \le T_{P'}^1(N,x) + t_{P'}^1(N)$ for any non-integer $x$ between $N/2$ and $N-1$. If $T_{P'}^1(N,x) + t_{P'}^1(N) \le 2$, then for $N \ge N_0 = 312$,

$$S_P^1(N,x) = x\omega_P^1(N) - T_P^1(N,x) - t_{P'}^1(N) \ge x\omega_P^1(N) - 2 > 0 \tag{201}$$

and the theorem is proved. Now we assume $P' \le N$. Let $n = \lfloor N/P' \rfloor$ and $y = nP' + \frac{1}{2}$, then $N/2 \le nP' \le N$ and $y < N+1$. Since $T_{P'}^1(N,x)$ is periodic with period $P'$, then

$$T_{P'}^1(N,y) = T_{P'}^1\left(N, nP' + \frac{1}{2}\right) = T_{P'}^1\left(N, \frac{1}{2}\right) \le \frac{1}{2} \tag{202}$$

and $T_{P'}^1(N,y) + t_{P'}^1(N) \le 1$ since $t_{P'}^1(N) \le \frac{1}{2}$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{P'}^1(N,y-2) &= (y-2)\omega_{P'}^1(N) - t_{P'}^1(N) - S_{P'}^1(N,y-2) && (203)\\ &= T_{P'}^1(N,y) - 2\omega_{P'}^1(N) + S_{P'}^1(N,y) - S_{P'}^1(N,y-2) && (204)\end{aligned}$$

Since $d'$ is odd, $P'$ is even and $S_{P'}^1(N,y) - S_{P'}^1(N,y-2) \le 1$, then $T_{P'}^1(N,y-2) \le T_{P'}^1(N,y) + 1$ and $T_{P'}^1(N,y-2) + t_{P'}^1(N) \le 2$. If $y < N-1$, then we take $x = y$; otherwise we take $x = y - 2 < N-1$. In either case we have $T_{P'}^1(N,x) + t_{P'}^1(N) \le 2$ and the theorem is proved. Next we assume $P' > N \ge N_0$. In this case $P'$ has at least one odd prime factor $> 3$. Let $P'' = P'/2 = P_{2d'}$, then $P'' \mid 3N$ and $P'' > N/2$. Since $W_{P'}^1(N)$ is symmetric in the middle between 1 and $P'$, then

$$S_{P'}^1(N,P'') = |W_{P'}^1(N)|\frac{P''}{P'} = \frac{1}{2}\prod_{p|P_3'}(p-1) \tag{205}$$

and $T_{P'}^1(N,P'') = 0$. If $P'' \le N$, we take $y = P'' + \frac{1}{2}$, then $T_{P'}^1(N,y) + t_{P'}^1(N) \le 1$. If $y < N-1$, then we take $x = y$; otherwise we take $x = y - 2$. In either case we have $T_{P'}^1(N,x) + t_{P'}^1(N) \le 2$ and the theorem is proved. Finally, we assume $P'' > N$. In this case, $P'' = 3N$ or $P' = 3N$; or $P' = cN$ where $c$ is the index of $(P,N)$ and $c = 3$ or 6. Let $x = N - \frac{3}{2}$, then by Lemma 10.3, we have $T_{P'}^1(N,x) \le 2^{\#N-1}$ and

$$S_P^1(N,x) = x\omega_P^1(N) - T_P^1(N,x) > (N-2)\omega_P^1(N) - 2^{\#N-1} > 0 \tag{206}$$

since $N \ge N_0 = 312$. That completes the proof. □

We can further add one term on inequality (196) as follows:

$$T_P^p(N, N\overline{P_p}P_p - x) - T_P^p(N, N\overline{P_p}P_p + x) \le x\omega_P^p(N) + \theta(N) \tag{207}$$

where $\theta(N) = \sqrt{N}\omega_P^1(N)$. No example is found that this inequality fails for $N \ge 30,000,000$.

**Lemma 10.5.** *Assume $x > 0$ and $P$ is the product of all primes $\le \sqrt{2N}$. If $T_P^1(N,x) \le T_{P_p}^1(N,x) + \theta(N)$ for each $p \mid P_{6N}$, then*

$$T_P^1(N,x) \le T_{P_d}^1(N,x) + \theta(N)\pi(\sqrt{2N}) + t_{P_d}^1(N) \tag{208}$$

*for any $d \mid P_{6N}$.*

Under the condition of this lemma, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} S_P^1(N,N-2) &> (N-2)\omega_P^1(N) - \theta(N)\pi(\sqrt{2N}) - 2^{\#N-1} && (209)\\ &\sim \left(1 - \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\log N}\right) N\omega_P^1(N) && (210)\end{aligned}$$

and expect $S_P(N,N-2) > 0$ for $N$ larger than another fixed number.

# 11 Twin Primes

Goldbach conjecture says that for every $N \geq 4$, there is a pair of distinct primes $p$ and $q$ such that $q+p = 2N$. The generalized twin prime conjecture says that for every $N \geq 1$, there are infinitely many pairs of primes $p$ and $q$ such that $q - p = 2N$. This similarity gives the similar answer to both conjectures. Now we present the second upper bound hypothesis:

**UBH′:** Second upper bound hypothesis on $T_P^p(N,x)$. For given $N \geq 1$, there are infinitely many integers $M \geq 2N+1$ such that, for $M^2 - 7N \leq x < M^2 - N$ and for each $p \mid P_{6N}$ where $P$ is the product of all primes $\leq M$, the following inequality holds:

$$T_P^p(N, N\overline{P_p}P_p - x) - T_P^p(N, N\overline{P_p}P_p + x) \leq x\omega_P^p(N) \tag{211}$$

**Lemma 11.1.** *Let $M \geq 2N+1$ and $P$ the product of all primes $\leq M$. If $S_P(N, M^2 - N) \geq 1$, then there exists $n \leq M^2 - N$ such that both $n+N$ and $n-N$ are prime, and $n - N > M$.*

*Proof.* Since $S_P(N, M^2 - N) \geq 1$, then there is $n$ between 1 and $M^2 - N$ such that $(n+N)(n-N) \perp P$. Since $M \geq 2N+1$ and $n+N \perp P$, then $n+N \geq M+1 \geq 2N+2$ and $n - N \geq 2$. Since $n+N \leq M^2$, then both $n+N$ and $n-N$ are prime. Since $n - N \perp P$, then $n - N > M$. □

**Lemma 11.2.** *If there are infinitely many integers $M \geq 2N+1$ such that $S_P(N, M^2 - N) \geq 1$ where $P$ is the product of all primes $\leq M$, then there are infinitely many pairs of primes $p$ and $q$ such that $q - p = 2N$.*

*Proof.* We choose $M_1 \geq 2N+1$ and $M_{m+1} \geq M_m^2$ for each $m \geq 1$. By the assumption, we have $S_P(N, M_m^2 - N) \geq 1$ where $P$ is the product of all primes $\leq M_m$. Thus, there is $n_m$ between 1 and $M_m^2 - N$ such that, by the previous lemma, both $p_m = n_m - N$ and $q_m = n_m + N$ are prime and $q_m - p_m = 2N$. Since

$$q_m = n_m + N \leq M_m^2 \leq M_{m+1} < n_{m+1} - N = p_{m+1} < q_{m+1} \tag{212}$$

then $q_m < q_{m+1}$. Thus, there are infinitely many pairs of primes $p$ and $q$ such that $q - p = 2N$. □

**Theorem 11.3.** *If* **UBH′** (211) *is true for given $N \geq 1$, then there are infinitely many pairs of primes $p$ and $q$ such that $q - p = 2N$.*

*Proof.* Let $M$ be the one of integers in **UBH′** and $P$ the product of all primes $\leq M$. It is sufficient to prove $S_P(N, M^2 - N) \geq 1$ due to the previous lemma. Assume $x$ is not integer and $M^2 - 7N < x < M^2 - N$. If there is $p \mid P_{6N}$ such that $T_P^p(N,x) < x\omega_P^p(N)$, then

$$S_P^p(N,x) = x\omega_P^p(N) - T_P^p(N,x) > 0 \tag{213}$$

and the theorem is proved. Otherwise, for each $p \mid P_{6N}$, we have $T_P^p(N,x) \geq x\omega_P^p(N)$. From the first deduction formula for $T_P^1(N,x)$ and by **UBH′** (211), we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_P^1(N,x) &= T_{P_p}^1(N,x) - T_P^p(N,x) - T_P^p(N, N\overline{P_p}P_p + x) + T_P^p(N, N\overline{P_p}P_p - x) && (214)\\ &\leq T_{P_p}^1(N,x) - T_P^p(N,x) + x\omega_P^p(N) \leq T_{P_p}^1(N,x) && (215) \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 10.2, we have $T_P^1(N,x) \leq T_{P_d}^1(N,x) + t_{P_d}^1(N)$ for any $d \mid P_{6N}$. Let $d' = P_{6N}$ and $P' = P_{d'}$, then $P' \mid 6N$. Thus, there is $n$ such that $M^2 - 7N \leq nP' < M^2 - N$. Let $x = nP' + \frac{1}{2}$, then $T_{P'}^1(N,x) + t_{P_d}^1(N) \leq 1$ and

$$S_P^1(N,x) = x\omega_P^1(N) - T_P^1(N,x) \geq (M^2 - 7N)\omega_P^1(N) - 1 > 0 \tag{216}$$

That completes the proof. □

We can further add one term on inequality (211) as follows:

$$T_P^p(N, N\overline{P_p}P_p - x) - T_P^p(N, N\overline{P_p}P_p + x) \leq x\omega_P^p(N) + \theta'(M) \tag{217}$$

where $\theta'(M) = M\omega_P^1(N)$, and have the following lemma:

**Lemma 11.4.** *Assume $x > 0$ and $P$ is the product of primes $\leq M$. If $T_P^1(N,x) \leq T_{P_p}^1(N,x) + \theta'(M)$ for each $p \mid P_{6N}$, then*

$$T_P^1(N,x) \leq T_{P_d}^1(N,x) + \theta'(M)\pi(M) + t_{P_d}^1(N) \tag{218}$$

*for any $d \mid P_{6N}$.*

Under the condition of this lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_P^1(N, M^2 - N) &> (M^2 - 7N)\omega_P^1(N) - \theta'(M)\pi(M) - 1 && (219) \\ &\sim \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log M}\right) M^2 \omega_P^1(N) && (220) \end{aligned}$$

and expect $S_P^1(N, M^2 - N) > 0$ for $M$ larger than a fixed number.

## Acknowledgment

I would like to thank Dr David Platt of University of Bristol for his pointing out that **UBH** fails when $N = 400$ and $p = 23$. Author believes **UBH** is valid for $N$ large enough. Numerical calculation shows **UBH** (3) is valid for $N$ between $100,000,000$ and $102,000,000$.

## References

[1] Denis X. Charles **Sieve Methods**, http://pages.cs.wisc.edu/c̆dx/Sieve.pdf

[2] John H. Conway, Richard Guy **The Book of Numbers**, Springer (1996). p. 107

[3] G. H. Hardy **Collected papers of G. H. Hardy**, Vol. I, Oxford, Clarendon Press (1966)

[4] Donald E. Knuth **Johann Faulhaber and Sums of Powers** Math. Comp. (American Mathematical Society) 61 (1993) (203): 277294.

[5] Wang Yuan **The Goldbach conjecture (2nd Edition)**, World Scientific 2002

[6] Wu Willie **Introduction to Pipe Theoery**, https://sites.google.com/site/basicpipetheory.